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INTRODUCTION

LISANDRA ESTEVEZ
WINSTON-SALEM STATE UNIVERSITY

Collecting Early Modern Art (1400-1800) in the U.S. South brings together interdisciplinary research focusing on art history and museum studies from leading scholars in the field. This anthology aims to enhance our understanding of how Renaissance and Baroque art came to the attention of both Southern art institutions and collectors.1

American Southern art collections, both public and private, contain rich and representative holdings of early modern art that have been neglected, compared to those bracketing the east and west coasts of the United States. This book considers how these works of art were acquired for prominent public and private collections, how they have been curated and displayed in exhibitions, and how they have been preserved historically.2 Narratives of collecting have been bound to notions of taste.


2 Art historian Oscar Vázquez has made excellent points about the interrelatedness of private and public collecting: “Contemporary with the creation of “private” collecting as a widespread social activity and the trend toward opening access to extant private collections was the genesis of the modern museum. Private ownership of collections gave way to public displays and dissemination through a variety of visual and documentary means (Charles Wilson Peale’s and Sir John Soane’s museums are among the most famous models.) In many cases – especially that of state museums – collections were appropriated as public, national properties. Not only did many national and public museums emerge from the foundations of private galleries, but the discourse that parallels their emergence also produced the
and fashion, associated with power and privilege, and have followed a decidedly Europeanized or Eurocentric narrative. In part, this volume grapples with how American collecting histories have been informed or shaped by these priorities, which can be competing, conflicting, or complementary. One of the issues raised by American collecting practices relates to the appropriation or reinterpretation of the meaning and function of European and Latin American art, as is the case in this book. Art historian Amy Buono has rightly observed, “attention to the mechanics of collecting, in fact […] allows (us) to discuss the multitextured dimensions of collecting as a social, political, and institutional practice.” This publication thus contributes to the growing literature on the history of collecting in its overarching presentation of Southern collectors and museums’ agendas and interests.

individual private collector. The emergence of public museums and institutional collections, therefore, cannot be understood apart from the development and discourses of collecting in general and the larger discourse involving the creation of the “public” and the individual. Private collections and the collector’s identity had been made public.” See Inventing the Art Collection: Patrons, Markets, and the State in Nineteenth-Century Spain (University Park, Park, PA: The Pennsylvania State University Press, 2001), 17.


4 The following publication has been useful in outlining these ideas: Daniela Bleichmar and Peter C. Mancall, eds. Collecting Across Cultures: Material Exchanges in the Early Modern Atlantic World (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2011).


6 Publications on the history of collecting European Renaissance and Baroque art remain to examine American Southern art collections in more depth. In Inge Reist’s A Market for Merchant Princes: Collecting Italian Renaissance Paintings in America (Penn State Press, 2014), only one of the nine excellent essays gathered in that anthology represented a Southern art collector. That brief article was authored by Virginia Brilliant and entitled “Building a Renaissance Collection and Museum after the Gilded Age: The Case of John Ringling” (96-105). Only one of the thirteen essays in another volume edited by Reist in collaboration with José Luis Colomer, Collecting Spanish Art: Spain’s Golden Age and America’s Gilded Age, represented a major Southern collector of Iberian art. Mark Roglan’s contribution to that anthology focused on the collecting habits of Algur H. Meadows, the founder of the Meadows Art Museum in Dallas, Texas: “Oil and Canvas: The Algur H. Meadows Collection of Spanish Art” (220-47). Edgar Peter
In this anthology, the individual essays address various art media—such as painting, printmaking, sculpture, and tapestry—that represent the early modern period (1400-1800) in Europe and the Americas. Case studies of specific works of art, collections, or institutions address Southern collections’ broad geographic scope, including the District of Columbia, the Carolinas, Georgia, Alabama, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Texas. The essays follow the order of geography, beginning with Washington, D.C. through the southeast and eventually ending in the southwest with Texas and Arkansas. Admittedly, the geographic parameters of the South have been debated. Historically the Mason-Dixon line has been a controversial marker of the North and the South’s cultural and geographic boundaries.\(^7\) While states and regions such as Maryland and Washington, D.C. currently comprise the mid-Atlantic areas, they were formerly identified as part of the U.S. South. Major cities such as Atlanta, Charleston, New Orleans, Louisville, and Austin have experienced a cultural and economic boom in recent decades.\(^8\) Of these six cities, this volume singles out the importance of New Orleans. Nicknamed the Crescent City after the bend of the Mississippi River that graces its gates, New Orleans’s culture is an amalgam of African, European (with strong French and Spanish inflections), and Native American influences.\(^9\)

With that said, several individuals and institutions have played essential roles in forming, fostering, and flourishing significant early modern art collections in the South during the twentieth century. However, the propensity for Renaissance and Baroque art has a long history that can be traced to this country’s early history. Thomas Jefferson, the United States’ third president, formed one of the earliest collections of European art in the South at his home in Monticello in Charlottesville, Virginia.\(^10\)


\(^8\) For case studies of these six cities as important art centers, see Pollack, *Visual Art and the Urban Evolution of the New South*.


While in Paris, Jefferson purchased copies after Italian and Spanish painters such as Domenichino, Guido Reni, Jusepe de Ribera, and Carlo Dolci, among others.11

The establishment of art collections is also intertwined with the development of higher education in the South. While the College of William and Mary was founded in 1693, its university art museum, the Muscadelle Museum of Art, officially opened almost two hundred years later in 1983. Despite this fact, the College received its first gift of art—a portrait of Robert Boyle, the Third Earl of Burlington, by the English painter James Worsdale—in 1732.12

However, the Civil War (1861-1865) dramatically altered the cultural, economic, and social fabric of the South. As a region that was divided by this conflict, and thereafter deeply affected by Reconstruction, the Gilded Age, and early modernism, the Southern United States has a complex cultural landscape that has been marred by a history of poverty, Jim Crow laws, racial segregation and violence, social inequity, and systematic racism. In examining collecting history from a different viewpoint, this volume places issues of race and gender within the context of art patronage, philanthropy, and aestheticism. This approach is, in part, informed by publications such as Deborah Pollack’s critical survey of the visual arts and Southern urban culture.13

Many of the collections of early modern European and Latin American art represented in this volume were formed after the Civil War in the late nineteenth- to mid-twentieth centuries. During that time, the foundation of university art museums reflects the interconnectedness between art collecting and higher education initiatives. Several notable campus museums in the South were established in the twentieth century that include the Mabee-Gerrer Museum (formerly St. Gregory’s Museum and Art Gallery, Shawnee, Oklahoma, established in 1919); the Fralin Museum of Art at the University of Virginia (1935); the Fred Jones Jr. Museum of


12 For further information, see https://muscarelle.org/about/

Art at the University of Oklahoma (1936); the Georgia Museum of Art at the University of Georgia, Athens (1948); and the Bob Jones Museum and Gallery at the Bob Jones University, Greenville, South Carolina (1951). Prominent Southern research universities such as Vanderbilt University (1956), Louisiana State University (1962), the University of Texas at Austin (1963), Southern Methodist University (1966), and Duke University (1969) also established notable museums in the 1950s and 1960s. While distinct in its foundation as a non-university museum, but equally focused on advocating for education through the arts, the John and Mable Ringling Museum of Art (Sarasota, FL) formed one of the largest collections of early modern European art in the southeast.

The generosity of private individuals and philanthropic organizations such as the Samuel H. Kress Foundation was also integral to Southern museums’ development and growth during the mid-twentieth century. The Kress Collection held more than 3,000 works of European art and was renowned for its wealth of Italian Renaissance paintings. The collection was bequeathed to many regional and academic art museums throughout the United States between 1929 and 1961, with the single largest gift reserved for the National Gallery of Art in Washington D.C. Regional and national museums such as the North Carolina Museum of Art and the Birmingham Museum of Art benefitted from these donations that were central to the formation of their early modern art collections.14

In telling the narrative of collecting early modern art in the American South, many of the essays in this volume draw from archival sources and primary materials that have been unpublished or relatively underutilized. In charting new ground for collecting studies, this volume will not only shed light on notable examples of Renaissance and Baroque art that have been understudied in early modern studies but also challenge long-held notions of exceptionalism and isolationism that have pervaded Southern studies. This volume examines these collections from that broader perspective. It represents different artistic traditions such as Italian Renaissance and Baroque Art, Northern European Renaissance art, and Iberian and Latin American art. It follows some of the directions that have been charted in recent Southern studies in its reexamination of the place of the American South in national and global art histories, especially at this current moment when that history is at a crossroads and significantly changing.

---

The nine essays in this volume selectively address the themes and topics mentioned above. Denise M. Budd’s chapter tells the intriguing story of Charles Ffoulke (1841-1909), an impresario who was a notable collector, critic, expert, dealer, and scholar of tapestries whose contributions have been somewhat overlooked in histories of collecting art. Budd draws upon unpublished archival material to illuminate Ffoulke’s importance in the canon of Gilded Age collectors.

Curators and museum directors have dramatically shaped the trajectory of acquiring early modern art for state museum collections. Lyle Humphrey’s text focuses on William R. (or W.R.) Valentiner, the first director of the North Carolina Museum of Art from 1955 to 1958, whose scholarship and expertise were instrumental in forming the North Carolina Museum of Art’s Italian Renaissance collection.

In addition to understanding the role of mainstream museums and public collections in shaping the narrative of collecting, historically Black colleges and universities (HBCUs) and women’s colleges and universities possess considerable early modern European art holdings. Traditionally, HBCUs and women’s colleges are access institutions that opened doors for African-Americans and women, respectively. Black communities were historically segregated and excluded from predominantly white Southern institutions of higher education; HBCU art collections were imperative in their mission to make art accessible to their campuses. The art galleries and museums of HBCUs and women’s colleges, which contain essential holdings of African and African American art and art by women, also have teaching collections of early modern European art. Their diverse holdings position them as beacons for social justice and equity and as significant stakeholders in global art and culture. My essay in this book deals with the Hanes prints collections of Winston-Salem shared by Winston-Salem State University (WSSU) and Salem Academy and College. These prints form important art collections at WSSU and Salem College that support high-impact teaching and service learning.

16 High-impact practices are educational approaches that have demonstrated a significant impact on student success. They consist of learning communities, writing-intensive courses, undergraduate research, and diversity/global learning,
Individual and corporate philanthropists such as the Hanes family were central to Southern art museums’ development and funding. As previously mentioned, the Samuel H. Kress Foundation’s generous gifts cannot be understated. They have been central in forming the core of early modern art collections in the southeast. Based on extensive unpublished archival material and documentation, Robert Schindler’s research highlights the Kress Foundation’s essential donations to the Birmingham Museum of Art, Alabama.

New Orleans’s art institutions and museums’ diverse holdings are highlighted in three essays in this publication. Lucia Abramovich’s chapter examines the Spanish vice-regal collection of the New Orleans Museum of Art (also known as NOMA and formerly the Isaac Delgado Museum) that consists mainly of eighteenth-century Peruvian paintings from the Cuzco School. Edith Rosenwald Stern’s efforts, a New Orleans socialite and heiress to the Sears Roebuck fortune, were instrumental in bringing these works to NOMA.

Alexis Culotta’s essay also discusses NOMA’s outstanding collections, especially its holdings of Italian Renaissance painting. Isaac Delgado’s efforts (the former namesake of NOMA) were instrumental in fashioning New Orleans as a significant art center in the southeastern United States. The stories behind these acquisitions are as fascinating and intriguing as the works of art themselves.

The appreciation of Renaissance and Baroque art can also be measured in published reviews of the New Orleans World’s Industrial and Collection Exposition (1884-1885). Sandra Pauly’s essay assesses the reception of vice-regal Mexican painting during these fairs and the appreciation of the “Old Masters” in this particular milieu.

James Clifton’s essay on the Sarah Campbell Blaffer Foundation Curiosity Cabinet reminds one that the origins of European and Euro-American collecting habits were rooted in long-established traditions such as those of the Kunst- or Wunderkammern (or cabinets of art and curiosities).17

---

Like Abramovich’s text, his chapter draws our attention to female art collectors and investors’ interventions in shaping the varied trajectories of Southern art collections.

Floyd Martin’s chapter returns the reader to the significance of university art collections of early modern Italian art. His chapter studies the Thompson-Cromwell Portfolio of prints by the eighteenth-century artist Giovanni Battista Piranesi, who created labyrinthine architectural images – based on both fact and fiction. These sheets were formerly owned by two distinguished architects in Little Rock, Arkansas, and are currently kept in the University of Arkansas art collection at Little Rock. This essay underscores the use of these prints in teaching art history and studio art courses and the interest in Roman antiquity on the part of the print portfolio’s former owners.

In conclusion, this volume is intended to open up further dialogue and research on American Southern collections of early modern art. The collecting of Renaissance and Baroque art is a complex and dynamic subject, many aspects of which warrant further inquiry and research. For example, the acquisitions of art before 1900 and the agendas and activities of nineteenth-century American Southern collectors deserve more study. The role of art dealers in bringing these paintings to Southern collectors’ attention also merits more in-depth consideration. I will also add that a more comprehensive study of HBCU, MSI (minority-serving institutions), and women’s college and university art collections and their vital roles in making art accessible to diverse communities and shaping higher education in the South remains an important goal of this author.
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CHAPTER ONE
CHARLES MATHER FFoulKE
AND LARZ ANDERSON:
DEALING AND COLLECTING
TAPESTRIES IN THE GILDED AGE
DENISE M. BUDD

Charles Mather Ffoulke (1841-1909) has received little attention as a dealer, scholar, and collector, beyond noting his rather significant role in bringing the famed Barberini tapestries to the United States (Fig. 1-1).1 His relegation to a footnote in the history of collecting may result at least in part from the fundamental misunderstanding of Ffoulke’s career as a matter of happenstance. The details of their procurement, the result of complex negotiations between representatives of the Barberini and Corsini families, the Italian government and several dealers, have been obfuscated in favor of an anecdote which was relayed in Ffoulke’s brief posthumous biography published by his dealer French & Company, and repeated in contemporary newspapers. This simplified version is as follows:
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1 For Ffoulke’s biography, see Denise M. Budd, “Charles Mather Ffoulke and the Market for Tapestries in Late Nineteenth-Century America,” Dealing Art on Both Sides of the Atlantic, 1860-1940, ed. Lynn Catterson (Boston: Brill, 2017), 161-180.
While traveling in Italy in 1889, an unnamed friend of Ffoulke had arranged for him to meet the princess Barberini over “tea cups.” In the tapestry collection, and Ffoulke was interested in perhaps one or two sets to decorate his home on
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Massachusetts Avenue in Washington, D. C. Ffoulke, however, met with resistance on the part of the princess, who was unwilling to divide the collection. So, after examining the tapestries in the family palace for fifteen days, he found himself the owner of the entire lot of 135 tapestries. Several tapestries were installed in his home, quickly necessitating the construction of a gallery onto its eastern side to showcase the works, while the rest he imported piecemeal over the course of the next two decades, selling them to friends and other collectors, among them Larz Anderson, Phoebe Elizabeth Apperson Hearst, Hamilton McKown Twombly, and Isabella Stewart Gardner. The understanding of Ffoulke is thus as a collector first, who became, by necessity, an accidental dealer and an amateur scholar.

However, what remains of Ffoulke’s letters and personal papers presents a very different picture of Ffoulke and his business pursuits. Correspondence between Ffoulke and diplomat Larz Anderson (1866-1937), along with other contemporary sources, reveals the considerable depth and breadth of Ffoulke’s enterprise. He operated at the hub of a network which included other dealers and galleries, restorers, museums, journalists, legislators, and architects, both stateside and abroad. He was not simply the conduit through which the Barberini tapestries found their way to collections scattered throughout the United States, but rather he maintained a workshop in Florence where tapestries were repaired and even manufactured. Furthermore, he did not import tapestries in response to the extant desires of American collectors; instead, Ffoulke worked assiduously to shape the market itself, producing essays, offering public lectures and arranging exhibitions at high profile venues.

The basis of the relationship between Ffoulke and Anderson is quite apparent. Larz Anderson had married Boston heiress Isabel Weld Perkins (1876-1948) in June 1897 (Fig. 1-2). Several years later, in May of 1901, Larz and Isabel Anderson purchased a large piece of land on Massachusetts

5 Ffoulke tried his hand at the manufacture of tapestries, overseeing the creation of a copy of a Rembrandt painting as a sample. It was originally woven for the Paris Exposition of 1899 where it won a gold medal, and was later included in a 1908 exhibition at the Corcoran Gallery. See cat. No. 26, “Modern Portrait–Tapestry” in Corcoran Gallery of Art, Tapestries, Textiles and Embroideries, Exh. cat., 14-29 February 1908 (Washington, D.C.: Press of Gibson Brothers, 1908): 25-26.
Avenue in a fashionable area near Dupont Circle, about a block away from Ffoulke’s gallery-house. At the turn of the century, Washington, D.C. was an ascendant cultural center, shifting from a seasonal retreat for the wealthy towards a year-round metropolis with a growing arts community. The Andersons hired the Boston-based architects Little and Browne to complete the designs for an enormous Beaux-Arts mansion; as it neared its completion, Washington society and the contemporary press marveled at its enormous size and extravagant cost.

Although the Andersons, who otherwise resided in Brookline, Massachusetts, did not occupy their new home until March 1905, Ffoulke came to know Anderson earlier in the 1890s. The two men moved in similar social circles. Both were members of the distinguished Metropolitan Club, and they had shared acquaintances, including the painter Alice Pike Barney (1857-1931). In addition, several of Ffoulke’s clients had relationships with the Andersons, and it seems likely that Ffoulke leveraged these relationships as he constructed his network. For example, Isabel’s cousin, Anna Pratt, was married to Massachusetts Congressman Charles Franklin Sprague (1857-1902), who was described in 1897 as “one of the frequent visitors at the Ffoulke residence, and an intimate friend of the merchant.”

The two had known each other since at least 1894, when Ffoulke sought to introduce Sprague to the architect Stanford White (1853-1906). Larz Anderson and Ffoulke likewise had shared connections in Boston, most
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8 Moskey, *Larz and Isabel Anderson*, 111.
9 In a letter dated 25 April 1899 to Ffoulke’s Florentine restorer and business associate, Giuseppe Salvadori, Ffoulke described Larz Anderson as follows: “He lived in this city before his marriage to a rich Boston girl, and I knew him quite well.” Salvadori and Giuseppe Salvadori archive, Biblioteca Berenson, Villa I Tatti, The Harvard University Center for Italian Renaissance Studies.
11 Moskey, *Larz and Isabel Anderson*, 102. Larz and Isabel rented Barney’s home at 1626 Rhode Island Avenue in 1902 while their home was under construction.
13 Stanford White correspondence and architectural drawings, Department of Drawings & Archives, Avery Architectural and Fine Arts Library, Columbia