

Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Development in Africa

Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Development in Africa

By

Issouf Soumaré,
Gaston Gohou,
Hugues Kouadio
and Janvier D. Nkurunziza

Cambridge
Scholars
Publishing



Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Development in Africa
Series: Transnational Corporations Council of Studies Series

By Issouf Soumaré, Gaston Gohou, Hugues Kouadio
and Janvier D. Nkurunziza

This book first published 2018

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2018 by Issouf Soumaré, Gaston Gohou, Hugues Kouadio
and Janvier D. Nkurunziza

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without
the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-5275-1590-7

ISBN (13): 978-1-5275-1590-1

Contents

Preface	xiv
I FDI in Africa: Definition, Characteristics and Trend	1
1 FDI: Definition and Concepts	2
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 The recent international definition of FDI and its implications	4
1.2.1 The recent international definition of FDI . . .	4
1.2.2 Measurement of FDI according to the asset-liability approach and the directional principle	4
1.3 The limits of the international measurement framework of FDI	7
1.3.1 Limitations of international criteria of FDI measurement	8
1.3.2 Divergence between countries in the application of international definition	9
1.4 Components of FDI statistics and associated typologies	10
1.4.1 Concepts of flows, stocks and FDI income . .	10
1.4.2 Types of FDI	11
1.5 Conclusion	13

2	Overview of FDI Flows in Africa	15
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Africa in the global FDI trends	16
2.2.1	Evolution of inward FDI in Africa	16
2.2.2	Evolution of outward FDI from Africa	19
2.3	Comparison of Africa to other emerging economies	21
2.3.1	Comparison of FDI inflows: Africa, Tiger Cubs and BRICS	21
2.3.2	Comparison of FDI outflows: Africa, Tiger Cubs and BRICS	22
2.4	FDI flows and African regions	24
2.4.1	FDI attractiveness by African region	24
2.4.2	Ability of different regions of Africa to invest	26
2.5	Sources of FDI inflows toward Africa	28
2.5.1	FDI inflows from the traditional partners of Africa	28
2.5.2	FDI inflows from emerging economies	28
2.5.3	Intra-Africa FDI	29
2.6	Sectoral characteristics of FDI in Africa	30
2.6.1	FDI inflows by sector	30
2.6.2	Greenfield (or creation) FDI toward Africa	33
2.7	Conclusion	34
II	Determinants of FDI in Africa	38
3	Analysis of the Determinants of FDI in Africa	39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Literature review	41
3.3	Identification of the determinants of FDI	45
3.3.1	Main variables	45
3.3.2	Data and sources	47
3.4	Univariate analysis of FDI and its potential determinants	47
3.4.1	Comparative analysis of the evolution of FDI flows around the world	47
3.4.2	Evolution of FDI flows in Africa	49
3.4.3	Correlation between variables	54
3.4.4	Analysis of variance	56
3.5	Analysis of the determinants of FDI in Africa	58
3.5.1	Specification of the econometric model	58
3.5.2	Analysis of the results of regressions	59

	Results of regressions with FDIGDP as indicator	59
	Results of regressions with FDIGCF as indicator	60
	Results of regressions with FDIPOP as indicator	61
3.6	Conclusion and recommendations	62
4	A Comparative Analysis of the Characteristics of FDI in Africa from OECD Countries versus China	66
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Characteristics of FDI from China versus OECD countries	69
4.2.1	FDI trend: China vs. OECD countries	69
4.2.2	FDI inflows by country	71
4.3	Multivariate analysis of the determinants of FDI from OECD and China	73
4.3.1	Econometric model	73
4.3.2	Variables and data	74
	Governance indicators	74
	Natural resources rents	75
	Economic performance indicators	75
4.3.3	Descriptive statistics	78
4.3.4	Comparative analysis of countries' governance quality indicators	79
4.3.5	Correlation analysis	82
4.3.6	Regression results	86
4.4	Conclusion	93
5	Does Official Development Assistance have an Impact on Foreign Direct Investment in Africa?	96
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	Overview of official development assistance (ODA)	97
5.2.1	Definition	97
5.2.2	Literature review	99
5.3	Methodology and data	101
5.3.1	Variables and data	101
5.3.2	Empirical model	102
5.4	Results	104
5.4.1	Descriptive analysis	104
5.4.2	Tests of stationarity	111
5.4.3	Does ODA Granger-cause FDI?	113

5.4.4	Impact of ODA on FDI	113
5.5	Conclusion	121
III FDI and Inclusive Growth		127
6	Impact of FDI on Welfare in Africa	128
6.1	Introduction	128
6.2	Selected literature review	130
6.2.1	Theoretical arguments on the link between FDI and welfare	130
6.2.2	Tests of the direct relationship between FDI and economic growth	132
6.2.3	Tests of the direct relationship between FDI and welfare	133
6.3	Causality between FDI and welfare	135
6.3.1	FDI and welfare variables	135
6.3.2	Granger causality test	136
6.4	Multivariate analysis of the impact of FDI on welfare .	138
6.4.1	Econometric model	138
6.4.2	Variables and data	139
Economic and policy variables	139	
Business environment and institutional quality variables	139	
Political risk variables (from Freedom House) .	140	
6.4.3	Results for the impact of FDI on HDI	143
6.4.4	Results for the impact of FDI on real per capita GDP	146
6.5	Conclusion	148
7	Sectorial FDI and Economic Growth	152
7.1	Introduction	152
7.2	Literature review	154
7.3	Some statistics on sectoral FDI in Africa	156
7.4	Analysis of the impact of sectoral FDI on growth . . .	169
7.4.1	Econometric model	169
7.4.2	Estimation results and analysis	171
7.5	Conclusion	172

8	FDI and Economic Growth in West Africa	177
8.1	Introduction	177
8.2	Literature review	179
8.2.1	Theoretical arguments on the interaction between FDI and economic growth	179
8.2.2	Empirical approaches for interactions between FDI and economic growth	181
8.3	Identification and analysis of FDI determinants in West Africa	182
8.3.1	Econometric model	182
8.3.2	Variables and data	183
8.3.3	Descriptive statistics	185
8.3.4	Analysis of the determinants of FDI	188
8.4	Analysis of the impact of FDI on growth in West Africa	190
8.4.1	Econometric model	191
8.4.2	Analysis of the impact of FDI on economic growth	192
8.5	Conclusion	196
9	FDI and Welfare In North African Countries	202
9.1	Introduction	202
9.2	Sectoral concentration of FDI inflows in North African countries	206
9.3	Evolution of FDI and welfare components	209
9.3.1	FDI and human development	209
9.3.2	FDI and poverty reduction	212
9.3.3	FDI and income inequality	214
9.4	Causality between FDI and welfare in North Africa?	218
9.4.1	FDI and welfare variables	218
9.4.2	Unit root tests	221
9.4.3	Granger causality tests	223
9.5	Regression analysis	228
9.5.1	Regression model specification	228
9.5.2	Variables and data	229
9.5.3	Descriptive statistics and correlation between variables	231
9.5.4	Empirical regression results of the impact of FDI on welfare in North Africa	235
9.5.5	VAR regressions by country	240
9.6	Conclusion	244

IV FDI and Agriculture 251

10 Agricultural Policy and Agricultural Sector Financing: Experiences of Some Developed Countries	252
10.1 Introduction	252
10.2 Agricultural policy in developed countries from 1800 to the present	253
10.2.1 The United States, from 1800 to the present	253
Agricultural policy	253
Internal Funding Strategy of Agriculture	254
10.2.2 Agricultural policy in Canada, from 1867 to the Present	271
10.2.3 The case of France	279
Agricultural policy of France and agricultural financing strategies	279
Development of agriculture	280
10.2.4 The Case of the United Kingdom	284
Agricultural policy in the UK and funding strategies of agriculture	284
10.2.5 The Case of Japan	292
Japan's agricultural policy and agricultural financing strategies	292
Evolution of agriculture	293
10.2.6 The Case of Australia	299
Australia Agricultural Policy and agriculture financing strategies	299
Evolution of agriculture	299
10.3 Role of FDI in the development of agricultural sector .	304
10.3.1 Role of FDI in the improvement of agricultural export	306
10.3.2 Role of FDI in the enhancement of agricultural productivity and output	306
10.3.3 Role of FDI in job creation in agricultural sector	307
10.4 Conclusion	307
11 Is Land Grabbing Poverty Reducing? A Theoretical Analysis	314
11.1 Introduction	314
11.2 The model	317
11.2.1 Commodity production function	319

11.2.2	Farmer's price formation	320
11.2.3	Commodity world price behaviour	323
11.3	Impact of land grabbing on farm gate price?	325
11.3.1	Benchmark: Constant world price in both periods	326
11.3.2	International commodity price is random in pe- riod 2	328
11.4	Conclusion	329
12	Whither FDI in African Farmland?	336
12.1	Introduction	336
12.2	FDIs in Africa's farmland: Some stylized facts	340
12.3	Preliminaries	342
12.3.1	Local people's occupational choice	343
12.4	Equilibrium analysis	347
12.4.1	FDI in farmland and agricultural input subsidies	347
12.4.2	FDI in farmland and the payoff to farming	350
12.4.3	Equilibrium flow of rural-urban migrations	352
12.4.4	Welfare effect of export-oriented FDI in farmland	354
12.4.5	Export-oriented FDI in farmland and food in- security in targeted communities	356
12.5	A numerical example	358
12.5.1	FDIs in farmland and rural-urban migrations	358
12.5.2	FDIs in farmland and local food production	359
12.5.3	FDIs in farmland and local people's welfare	360
12.6	Conclusion	361
V	FDI and Financial Sector Development	365
13	FDI, Financial Market Development and Economic Growth	366
13.1	Introduction	366
13.2	Literature review	368
13.3	Causality analysis between FDI and FMD	371
13.3.1	FDI and FMD variables	371
Foreign direct investment (FDI) variables	371	
Financial market development (FMD) indicators	371	
13.3.2	Unit root tests	372
13.3.3	Granger causality test	373

13.4	Multivariate analysis of the relationship between FDI, FMD and economic growth	375
13.4.1	Regression equations	375
13.4.2	Control variables and data	376
	Economic and policy variables	376
	Governance and institutional quality variables	378
13.4.3	Descriptive statistics and correlations	380
13.4.4	Regression results	384
13.5	Conclusion	390
14	FDI, Foreign Portfolio Investment, Remittances and Economic Growth	395
14.1	Introduction	395
14.2	Literature review	397
14.2.1	Relationship between FDI and economic growth	398
14.2.2	Relationship between PI and economic growth	399
14.2.3	Relationship between remittances and economic growth	400
14.3	Evolution of FDI, PI and remittances.	402
14.4	Analysis of the interaction between capital flows and economic growth	404
14.4.1	Econometric model	404
14.4.2	Variables and data	407
	The variables of international capital flows	407
	Other control variables	407
14.4.3	Descriptive statistics	408
14.4.4	Analysis of the regressions results	412
14.5	Conclusion	413
VI	FDI and Regional Integration	420
15	Intra-Africa FDI and Economic Integration: The Case of WAEMU, CAEMC and SADC	421
15.1	Introduction	421
15.2	Literature review	422
15.2.1	Theoretical viewpoint	422
15.2.2	Review of empirical studies	423
15.3	Evolution of FDI flows and trade in the WAEMU, CAEMC and SADC regions	427

15.3.1	Evolution of FDI flows in the three regions . . .	427
15.3.2	FDI flows from and to OECD countries	428
15.3.3	Intra-regional trade flows	430
15.4	Multivariate analyses	431
15.4.1	Econometric model	431
15.4.2	Variables and data	432
15.4.3	Regression results and interpretations	434
15.4.4	Results of the causality test between FDI and trade	435
15.5	Conclusion	436
16	FDI and Poverty Reduction in Africa's REC	440
16.1	Introduction	440
16.2	Literature review	441
16.2.1	Theoretical arguments on the link between FDI and welfare	442
16.2.2	Tests of the direct relationship between FDI and economic growth/welfare	443
16.3	Univariate analysis of FDI and welfare in Africa's REC	445
16.3.1	FDI and welfare variables	445
16.3.2	Sample	446
16.3.3	Univariate analysis of FDI and welfare	449
16.4	Multivariate analysis of the impact of FDI on welfare in Africa's RECs	453
16.4.1	Model specification	453
16.4.2	Variables and data	453
Economic and policy variables	453	
Business environment and institutional quality variables	454	
Political risk variables (from Freedom House) .	455	
16.4.3	Correlation matrix	457
16.4.4	Impact of FDI on welfare in Africa's free trade areas	460
16.4.5	Impact of FDI on welfare in Africa's customs and monetary unions	465
16.4.6	Impact of FDI on welfare by country income level	468
16.5	Conclusion	470

Preface

Since their independence in the early sixties, many African countries have continued to receive an increasing volume of foreign direct investments (FDI) needed for their development. Unfortunately, the human development and the welfare gains have not followed the pace of foreign investment flows. This can be attributed to many phenomena. In the current book, we review and analyse several features of FDI and their linkages to African countries' economies. The targeted audiences of this book are (1) policy decision makers who want to learn more about the different implications of FDI flows for the development of their country; and (2) researchers interested to conduct further research on FDI linkages and their implications for African countries' development.

The book is structured into six parts. The first part (chapters 1 and 2) defines the concept of FDI and provides a general overview of FDI in Africa. The second part (chapters 3 to 5) identifies and analyses the potential determinants of FDI attractiveness of African countries. The third part (chapters 6 to 9) reviews the literature and studies linkages between FDI and inclusive growth in Africa. The fourth part (chapters 10 to 12) reviews the different agricultural policies in selected developed countries and draws policy recommendations for African countries for the development of their agricultural sector. The fifth part (chapters 13 and 14) reviews the literature and studies

the linkages between FDI, other financial flows and financial market development in Africa. Finally, the sixth part (chapters 15 and 16) studies the role played by FDI in regional integration in Africa.

In each chapter comprised of several sections, we not only provide an overview of the existing literature, but also present stylized facts on each chapter's topic with descriptive statistics and graphs, and later on we perform statistical multivariate analyses to draw sound policy recommendations. The reader will find state of the art analyses on FDI-related topics studied by researchers. Policy makers and development professionals will find in this companion book a useful guide to draw sound policies based on facts and rigorous analyses. Researchers who are interested in conducting research on FDI-related topics will find the necessary references to start with and learn the different analytical tools used by researchers in this field of research. Therefore, as we mentioned above, this is a good companion book for policy makers, development agency professionals and researchers, based on stylized facts and rigorous analytical studies.

We would like to thank students from our respective institutions (Laval University in Canada and ENSEA Abidjan in Côte d'Ivoire) and staff of CESS Institute in Canada, who have contributed as research assistants over the years to the content of this book. In particular, we reserve a special thanks for the following students from Laval University in Canada: Daouda Camara and Eric Ekpinda; to the following students from ENSEA Abidjan in Côte d'Ivoire: Kodzovi Senu Abalo, Aurore Stéphanie Abogne, Honoré A. Agbobly-Atayi, Marc Luc D. Akplogan, Abdoul Aziz A. Amadou, Edjems Stephane Amari, Bassan Bazié, Bitian Djabonou, Bakari Bio A. R. Cyrinus Elegbede, Kouamé Kanga, Jean Stéphane Koffi, Ama Kouao, Adouko Guy Miessan, Yacouba Ouedraogo, Wendingoudi Tidiane Ouedraogo, Audrier Sanou and Kokouvi Tewou; to the following staff of CESS Institute in Canada: Germain Bomisso and Yao Djifa N'Sougan; and to Hugh Dang and Nathan Weatherdon; we thank all of them for their valuable assistance.

Some chapters of this book are from our joint works with colleagues; we thank them for their collaboration, namely, Sylvain Dessy (Laval University in Canada), Isaac Othère (Carleton University in Canada), Désiré Vencatachellum (African Development Bank in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire), and Pierre Yourougou (Syracuse University in the United States). Finally, we extend our gratitude to all our other colleagues, especially, Michel Gendron, Dean of the Faculty of Business

Administration of Laval University in Canada and Koffi N'Guessan, former Director of ENSEA Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, for their continual support and financial contribution to the production of this book.

Part I

FDI in Africa: Definition, Characteristics and Trend

CHAPTER 1

FDI: Definition and Concepts

1.1 Introduction

Widely recognized as a growth and development driving force, foreign direct investment (FDI) is seen as an appropriate solution to the lack of resources faced by African economies to sustain the investments and growth necessary to achieve the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). According to Loots and Kabundi (2012), savings rates in Africa (averaging 9% of GDP) are largely insufficient to fund the minimum level of investment required to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) which is 25% of GDP over the long term. So Africa faces a considerable resource gap estimated at 16% of its GDP. This is a reason why external financing (development aid and private capital flows) is timely to support African economies in their development objectives. Among the various existing sources of external financing, particular attention has been paid in recent years to foreign direct investments (FDI), since these capital flows are considered less burdensome (they are not accompanied by austerity measures) and potentially more effective (being generally private by nature).

However, even if it is true that foreign direct investments (FDI) have become of greater interest in recent years because they are widely recognized as driving force of growth and development, the fact remains that the concept is not new. According to Lipsey (2001), the first flows of FDI date back to 2500 BC and began because Sumerian traders expressed the need to have men abroad who would be able to receive, hold and sell the goods they export. Many centuries later (early 17th century), the Virginia Company¹ conducted one of the first flows of FDI in America by establishing a branch. Then, in the middle of the 17th century, multiple FDI was conducted by the families of English, French and Dutch traders who sent their relatives to America and the West Indies to represent their companies. FDI then took off. As Bloomfield noted (quoted by Lipsey (2001)), “*before 1914, the concept of direct investment (in the sense in which it is currently used) was not clearly differentiated from other capital investments in foreign private companies*”. That is why the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), in collaboration with the International Monetary Fund (IMF), developed a benchmark definition² of FDI to serve as an international measurement framework. Today it is widely accepted that “*where adequate general conditions are met, IDI³ can be a factor of financial stability, promote economic development and improve the welfare of the society*”⁴.

In other words, foreign direct investment can be an effective way to help countries deal with unemployment problems and development challenges they face. FDI represent an opportunity for African countries with insufficient savings to finance productive investments. However, for this to be effective, various conditions must be met. The next chapters deal with these conditions. But before these subsequent developments (to be presented later in this volume) on the determinants of FDI and their impact at various levels on African economies, this chapter aims to clarify the concept of FDI while pointing out recent developments.

¹ This is a British company created by King James in 1606.

² The latest edition, the fourth, was in 2008.

³ IDI stands for International Direct Investment

⁴ IMF 4th edition of the Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investment, 2008.

1.2 The recent international definition of FDI and its implications

First of all, it should be noted that the term "International Direct Investment" (abbreviated IDI) is used by the OECD to refer to both foreign direct investment (FDI: investment from abroad) and direct investment abroad. There is thus a clear distinction between IDI and FDI. However, since FDI for a country is a direct investment abroad to another country and vice versa, one can without loss of generality be interested only in FDI and if necessary use the term "FDI outflow" to denote direct investment abroad. In the remainder of this section we will present the definition of direct investment (as defined by OECD).

1.2.1 The recent international definition of FDI

In the 4th edition of the Benchmark Definition (paragraph 11, page 17), OECD defines Direct Investment as follows: *Direct investment is a category of cross-border investment made by a resident in one economy (the direct investor) with the objective of establishing a lasting interest in an enterprise (the direct investment enterprise) that is resident in an economy other than that of the direct investor. The motivation of the direct investor is a strategic long-term relationship with the direct investment enterprise to ensure a significant degree of influence by the direct investor in the management of the direct investment enterprise. The "lasting interest" is evidenced when the direct investor owns at least 10% of the voting power of the direct investment enterprise. Direct investment may also allow the direct investor to gain access to the economy of the direct investment enterprise, which it might otherwise be unable to do. The objectives of direct investment are different from those of portfolio investment whereby investors do not generally expect to influence the management of the enterprise.*

1.2.2 Measurement of FDI according to the asset-liability approach and the directional principle

In the 4th edition of Benchmark Definition (Boxes 2.2 and 2.3, pages 29-30), some changes were made to the FDI presentation approaches. The Benchmark Definition recommends two analytical approaches to presenting FDI data: the asset-liability approach which is identical to

balance of payments and international investment position statistics; and, the directional principle which is relevant to analyse the economic impact of FDI in terms of the direction in which it exercises influence or control (FDI inflows/outflows).

The Benchmark Definition stipulates in point 49 the difference between these two types of presentations as follows: “*Partner country or industry allocation is recorded in the directional presentation of FDI statistics as that of the immediate counterparty involved in the investment chain for both inward and outward investments but excluding resident special purpose entities (SPEs) (as opposed to the inclusion of all SPEs under the asset-liability principle described above). A direct investor in country A may wish to invest in country C but may do so through its holding company in country B. This simple example demonstrates how source and destination of investment through indirect routing may distort the data and influence the relevant economic analysis. Also under the directional principle, information for resident SPEs is also shown separately in the core accounts with the full geographic and industry breakdown.*”

To better understand what is included in FDI estimates using one approach or the other, it is important to know precisely the estimation methods of FDI using each approach. The boxes below present FDI estimates using both the asset-liability principle (Box 1) and the directional principle (Box 2).

Box 1. **FDI according to the asset/liability principle**

For the reporting country (RC), FDI is summarised as follows.:

ASSETS = Investments by direct investors of the RC in direct investment enterprises abroad

Plus

Reverse investments by direct investment enterprises in the RC in their direct investors abroad

Plus

Investments by fellow enterprises in the RC in other fellow enterprises abroad

LIABILITIES = Investment by direct investors from abroad in direct investment enterprises in the RC

Plus

Reverse investments by direct investment enterprises abroad in their direct investors in the RC

Plus

Investments by fellow enterprises abroad in fellow enterprises in the RC.

Source: OECD, Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investment, Fourth Edition 2008.

Box 2. FDI according to the directional principle

For the reporting country (RC), FDI is summarised as follows:

OUTWARD INVESTMENT = Investments by direct investors of the RC in direct investment enterprises abroad

Minus

Reverse investments by direct investment enterprises abroad in their direct investors in the RC

Plus

Investments by resident fellow enterprises in fellow enterprises abroad where the ultimate controlling parent of the resident fellow enterprise is resident in the RC

Minus

Investments by fellow enterprises abroad in resident fellow enterprises where the ultimate controlling parent of the resident fellow enterprise is resident in the RC

INWARD INVESTMENT = Investments by direct investors abroad in direct investment enterprises in the RC

Minus

Reverse investments by direct investment enterprises in the RC in their direct investors abroad

Plus

Investments by fellow enterprises abroad in resident fellow enterprises where the ultimate controlling parent of the resident fellow enterprise is non-resident in the RC

Minus

Investments by resident fellow enterprises in fellow enterprises abroad where the ultimate controlling parent of the resident fellow enterprise is non-resident in the RC.

Source: OECD, Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investment, Fourth Edition 2008.

1.3 The limits of the international measurement framework of FDI

The limits of the international measurement framework of FDI are at two levels: the first is the obsolescence of international criteria for measuring FDI, and the second is the lack of compliance with international rules by all countries.

1.3.1 Limitations of international criteria of FDI measurement

The rapid changes in international trade and innovative financial strategies of firms to reduce their transaction costs soon made obsolete the methods of FDI measurement using the 3rd edition of the Benchmark Definition of FDI developed by the OECD. It is precisely this fact that led to the development of the 4th edition of the revised manual that addresses the shortcomings of the previous edition. We review the limitations of this measurement framework (3rd edition) which is relevant to the extent that some countries might still use it. Indeed, sometimes a long adjustment period is necessary before the universal international application of new methods for FDI measurement.

As part of the mentioned limits, Terrien (2009) highlights various problems related to the implementation of the *principle of the first counterpart country* to identify the origin or destination of FDI flows. Under this principle, the origin or destination of FDI flows is attributed to the country of origin or *immediate* destination of funds. However, multinationals are increasingly using more Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) located in tax havens to achieve their financial operations. Thus, FDI flows from and to countries harbouring SPEs are artificially inflated. The geographical breakdown of FDI is thus deformed and also the breakdown of FDI by industry sector is affected by the over-representation of the activity of SPEs (management of holdings).

In addition, *round tripping* is a type of investment used by multinationals and involves a *round trip* of funds (in whole or in part, directly or not) of an entity affiliated to a non-resident. Yet, according to the methodology defined by the IMF (5th edition of the Balance of Payments Manual), these intercompany loans are recorded as inward or outward FDI flows. We generally expect an overestimation of inward and outward FDI flows due to *round tripping*.

Finally, the principle of the first counterpart country led to record capital in transit (transfers of funds from an affiliated entity to another by passing through several affiliates) in FDI flows. However, the reception of funds by affiliated intermediaries does not necessarily imply holding a stake in these companies nor investment activities, these are simple transfers. Thus, capital in transit also induces dis-

tortion in the geographical breakdown of FDI and the breakdown by sector of activity.

Faced with these multiple problems related to the reliability of FDI statistics, the OECD, in its 4th edition of the Benchmark Definition in 2008, suggests to statisticians in charge of developing these statistics two measures to address them. The first is to isolate the direct investment transactions of resident SPEs. This allows to remove the distorting effect of the breakdown of FDI by geographical region and by business sector due to SPEs. The second measure suggests, for recording intragroup financial operations,⁵ that lending and borrowing of resident entities belonging to a resident group with foreign fellow enterprises should be included in direct investment abroad; conversely, loans and borrowings of resident entities belonging to a non-resident group with foreign fellow enterprises must be recorded in direct investment coming from abroad. This measure thus limits the overestimation effect of FDI flows due to intragroup financial transactions.

1.3.2 Divergence between countries in the application of international definition

Despite the existence of an international framework for the measurement of FDI, we note that the application of these rules is not followed uniformly by all countries. The final research report, SIMSDI (Survey of Implementation of Methodological Standards for Direct Investment), produced in a context of cooperation between the IMF and the OECD, supports this assertion. The box below presents the Highlights of the 2001 SIMSDI report.

⁵ Note that a group is considered resident from the moment that all companies that constitute it are controlled, directly or indirectly, by the same ultimate investor (usually the parent company of the group) identified as resident

Box 3. Limitations of the application of international measurement rules of FDI

Areas where, despite improvements, a majority of countries do not yet follow the international standards:

- Inclusion of activities of indirectly owned direct investment enterprises—the Fully Consolidated System (FCS)
- Use of the current operating performance concept (COPC) to measure direct investment earnings.
- Time of recording FDI income on equity and income on debt
- Recording of reverse investment transactions when the FDI relationship is in one direction only
- Inclusion of data on quasi-corporations involving construction enterprises and mobile equipment
- Valuation of FDI positions (assets and liabilities)

Source: IMF, Foreign Direct Investment Statistics: How Countries Measure FDI, 2001.

1.4 Components of FDI statistics and associated typologies

The FDI statistics are comprised of three basic components: flows, stocks and FDI income (see subsection 1.4.1). In addition, two main typologies of FDI are cited in the literature: by the supply chain logic of FDI and by the form of FDI (see subsection 1.4.2).

1.4.1 Concepts of flows, stocks and FDI income

- **Concept of FDI flow:**

FDI flows refer to FDI net inflows and outflows for a given period, separately showing outward FDI (acquisitions less disposals / redemptions) and inward FDI (acquisitions less disposals / redemptions) by instrument (equities, loans).

- **Concept of FDI stock:**

A stock of FDI is the total amount outstanding at a given reference date of the investments made abroad or received from abroad. This is the accumulated FDI available at a given

date. While the concept of FDI stock is simple, it should be noted that the interpretation of a variation of an FDI stock between two periods is not as simple. Indeed, such a variation reflects transaction flows recorded during the interval, currency fluctuations, fluctuations in prices of securities or other adjustments. Although this variation is similar to the FDI flows, it can be somewhat different

- **Concept of FDI income:**

FDI income is the sum of monetary gains from FDI investments. It comes from two sources:

a) **Equity investment:** profits of the company during the reference period, corresponding to distributions (dividends) as well as undistributed profits which are treated as profits reinvested in the enterprise.

b) **Loans:** interest from intercompany loans, trade credits and other forms of loans.

1.4.2 Types of FDI

The main types of FDI can be classified by their supply chain logic and or their form. The classification of FDI according to the supply chain logic was introduced by Markusen (1995). Indeed, this author distinguishes two types of FDI:

- **Horizontal FDI:** This is an FDI with the objective to set up or manage a foreign operation of production of goods and services highly similar to those produced by the firm for its domestic market.
- **Vertical FDI:** This is a FDI with the objective to establish production units used in the production supply chain of a good abroad. The production process is thus distributed, geographically, between different countries.

As for the typology in the form of FDI, it was developed by the OECD in its 4th edition of the Benchmark Definition (2008). This typology led to distinguishing four types of FDI:

- Mergers and acquisitions FDI
- Greenfield investments
- Extension FDI
- Financial restructuring FDI

The following box illustrates the types of operations involved in these types of FDI

Box 4. Typology of FDI classified by their form

<i>Mergers and acquisitions (M&A)</i>	An investor in economy A buys existing shares of a target company in economy B from its shareholders.
	An investor in economy A creates a holding subsidiary B in the economy to buy existing shares of a target company in economy B or C from its shareholders.
<i>Greenfield investment</i>	An investor in country A creates a subsidiary in country B.
	An investor in country A creates a holding subsidiary in country B to establish a sub-subsidiary in country B or C.
<i>Extension investment (to develop a business)</i>	An investor in country A buys shares newly issued by an existing subsidiary in country B to develop its commercial activities.
	An investor from country A buys shares newly issued by a holding subsidiary in country B to buy existing shares of a sub-subsidiary in country B or C in order to develop its commercial activities.
<i>Financial restructuring FDI</i>	An investor from country A buys existing shares issued by an existing subsidiary in country B in order to repay a debt or reduce losses.
	An investor from country A buys shares newly issued by an existing holding subsidiary in country B to subscribe for existing shares issued by an existing sub-subsidiary in country B or C in order to repay a debt or reduce losses.

Source: IMF, “Foreign Direct Investment Statistics: How Countries Measure FDI,” 2001.

In practice, to classify an investment in either category, a questionnaire is applied to companies as part of a periodic survey to determine the characteristics of the investment. Based on their answers, an investment is classified in a specific category.

1.5 Conclusion

In ending this chapter, the following key elements should be emphasized:

- The international measurement framework of FDI is constantly changing due to changes in the economic and business environment and the changing practices of multinational firms.
- The current framework, a fruit of the collaboration between the IMF and the OECD (and recorded in the OECD Benchmark Definition of FDI, 4th edition), certainly reflects recent developments in financial strategies of multinational companies and transformations in international trade but is not thus far fully implemented in all countries. Therefore, differences between the values of FDI flows and stocks between countries may be due, at least in part, to the differences in statistical practices.
- Finally, two main typologies of FDI are noteworthy and may be relevant to fine tune the analyses on FDI: the typology based on the logic of FDI (horizontal versus vertical) and that based on the form of FDI (M&A, greenfield, extension, or financial restructuring).

Bibliography

- [1] Lipsey, R. 2001. "Foreign Direct Investment and the Operations of Multinational Firms: Concepts, History, and Data." NBER Working Paper No. 8665.
- [2] Loots, E. and A. Kabundi. 2012. "Foreign Direct Investment to Africa: Trends, Dynamics and Challenges." Accessed online at: <http://sajems.org/index.php/sajems/article/download/148/163>.
- [3] Markusen, J. 1995. "The Boundaries of Multinational Enterprises and the Theory of International Trade." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(2):169-189.
- [4] OECD. 2008. *OECD Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investment*. 4th edition.
- [5] Terrien, B. 2009. "Une nouvelle norme de construction et de diffusion des statistiques d'investissements directs." Bulletin de la Banque de France, No. 177, 3^e trimestre.