

Smartphone-based Learning in the Japanese ESL Classroom

Smartphone-based Learning in the Japanese ESL Classroom:

A Case Study Report

By

Nicolas Gromik

Cambridge
Scholars
Publishing



Smartphone-based Learning in the Japanese ESL Classroom:
A Case Study Report

By Nicolas Gromik

This book first published 2017

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2017 by Nicolas Gromik

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-1693-0

ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-1693-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	xiii
Introductory Note	xv
Chapter One.....	1
Introduction	
Context and Rationale.....	1
Consumer-Friendly Costs and Specifications	2
Historical Background of Research Project	3
Cell phone research.....	4
Preliminary cell phone research with seven participants.....	4
Research Question	5
Methodology.....	5
Scope of the Study	6
Book Overview	7
Chapter Two	9
Literature Review	
MALL Research	11
Investing in Mobile Technology	13
Cell phone technology.....	14
Mobile technology.....	14
Cell phones in context.....	15
Cell Phone Literature Review	16
Japan-based cell phone research.....	19
Cell Phone-Based Research Constraints	22
New Cell Phone Features – New Foci	25
Cell phone photo camera feature.....	25
Cell phone video recording feature: a new research area.....	27
Video production.....	27
Literature on filming activities.....	27
Cell Phone Video Production: A New Approach.....	30
The Japanese Language Learner	32
Curriculum Design.....	33
Examples of syllabus design consideration	37

Review of the Literature on Learning and Teaching Methodology	39
Language learning	42
Language learning strategies	44
Communicative language teaching.....	46
TIP 4 communicative language teaching.	50
Conclusion	54
 Chapter Three	 56
Methodology	
Historical Perspective	56
Methodological and Case Study Challenges.....	57
This Case Study’s Structure.....	58
Type of Case Study Design Selected	59
Data Collection Process	61
Generalisability	61
Validity.....	61
Construct validity.....	62
Internal validity.....	63
External validity	65
Reliability.....	66
Reporting Bias	66
Data Collection Method.....	67
The Researcher’s Background	68
Researcher/facilitator	71
Sampling	72
ICT in education and gender differences.....	73
Ethics and Research Approval	74
Confidentiality	74
 Chapter Four.....	 76
Data Collection Method	
Introduction.....	76
Review of the Limitations Emerging in the Literature	77
Review of Methodology in the Literature.....	79
Timeline	82
Sample.....	82
Participants.....	84
Technology	84
Task.....	85
Teacher guidance.....	86
Testing	87

Research Methods.....	88
Research Methodology	89
Collecting Data from EFL Speakers	90
Contributions from prior research.....	90
Data Collection Strategy	93
In-class video	98
Computer-based survey.....	100
Cell Phone Video Production.....	105
Pre-intervention.....	105
Intervention – theme-based task.....	105
Word count evidence.....	106
Post-intervention	107
Weekly Diary.....	107
Question 4 – Strategies to write script.....	111
Question 5 – Strategies to remember speech.....	111
Question 6 – Strategies to improve speech.....	112
Question 7 – Errors identified	113
Interviews and Observations.....	114
Observation	114
Interview	115
Summary.....	116
Post-Intervention Survey	117
Final cell phone video performance	118
In-class video recording	118
Final Computer-Based Survey	118
Summary.....	124
Chapter Five	125
Data Analysis	
Context.....	126
The rationale.....	126
Higher education in Japan	128
The university.....	129
Sendai.....	129
Telecommunication	130
Future of communication and the internet.....	131
Review of Data Analysis Methods Selected in Literature	132
Data Analysis Process.....	134
Putting the information into different arrays	135
Making a matrix of categories and placing the evidence	135
Tabulating the frequency of different events.....	136

Putting information in chronological order or using some other temporal scheme	136
Examining the complexity of such tabulations by calculating second-order numbers such as means and variances.	136
Statistical Method	137
Descriptive statistics.....	137
Inferential statistics	137
Correlation analysis.....	137
MANOVA.....	137
Dealing with missing values.....	138
Pre-Intervention Survey Descriptive Statistics	139
Demographic data	139
Educational background.....	139
Access to computers.....	140
Access to cell phones	141
Texting	142
Studying with technology.....	142
Chi-square	143
Learning objectives	145
Analysis of important skills identification	146
English education background – statistical analysis.....	147
Prior experience with technology – correlation analysis.....	150
Prior experience with technology – binary logistic regression.....	152
Favourite feature analysis.....	153
Weekly Diary Evidence	154
Production and strategies	154
Presentation of the data	154
Weekly diary rate of return	155
Learning Strategies Used as Reported in Weekly Diaries	155
Preparation time	155
MANOVA analysis of preparation time responses over 12 weeks.	158
Plot.	159
Recording sessions	160
MANOVA analysis of recording session responses over 12 weeks.	162
Plot.	163
Speech preparation strategies	165
MANOVA analysis of preparation strategies responses over 12 weeks.	166
Plot.	167

Strategies to remember speeches.....	169
MANOVA analysis of strategies used to memorise speech responses over 12 weeks.....	170
Plot.....	171
Areas identified for improving speech production	173
MANOVA analysis of strategies to improve speech production responses over 12 weeks.....	174
Plot.....	176
Error Identification.....	177
MANOVA analysis of error identification responses over 12 weeks	179
Plot.....	180
Rating enjoyment of video productions	182
MANOVA analysis of rate of production enjoyment responses over 12 weeks	183
Plot.....	184
Linguistic Improvement Analysis.....	186
Correlation Analysis.....	186
Spoken Utterances Analysis.....	190
Words spoken per second	190
MANOVA analysis of words spoken per second responses over 12 weeks	193
Plot.....	195
Descriptive data word count per second.....	197
Lexical Item Analysis	201
First 1000 words analysis	203
MANOVA analysis of K1000 word list over 12 weeks.....	203
Plot.....	205
Descriptive statistics of K1000 words between T1 and T2.....	206
First 2000 words analysis.....	208
K2000 word list over 12 weeks - MANOVA analysis.....	208
Plot.....	209
Descriptive statistics of K2000 words between T1 and T2.....	211
T-test.....	211
Academic word list (AWL) analysis	212
AWL over 12 weeks – MANOVA analysis.....	212
Plot.....	213
Descriptive statistics of AWL words between T1 and T2.....	214
T-test.....	215
Independent sample t-test for difference in gender	216
Learner Perspectives.....	218

Post-Intervention	218
Cronbach's alpha coefficient.....	218
Process of producing a video with a cell phone	219
Students' perceived improvements.....	220
Confidence with technology.....	221
Comparing cell phone-based learning to other options	222
Rating the weekly themes	224
Post-intervention survey open-ended responses.....	227
Reasons for enjoying the cell phone activity.....	227
Types of difficulties experienced during the project.	228
Peer viewing of videos.	228
Feedback on peers watching videos.	229
Feedback on viewing peers' videos.....	229
Opinions of writing video transcripts.	230
Explanation about the weekly homework and improvement of English ability.	230
Process for using cell phones to improve speaking ability.....	231
Strategies used to speak within the time limit.	231
Challenges to speaking faster.	232
Teacher feedback.....	233
Motivation to be creative with content or location.....	233
The cell phone video recording feature is a good language learning tool.....	234
Studying with a cell phone is suitable.	235
Students' perceptions of the future of the cell phone video recording feature.....	235
Interview Responses	236
Hardware	238
Access	239
Video production process.....	239
Location.....	240
Preserving videos for future viewing	241
Viewing peers' and own videos	241
Developing friendships through the project	241
Analysis of Extreme Cases	241
Case 37	244
Case 23	245
Case 22	246
Other cases	248
Female cases.....	248
Male cases.	251

Technology acceptance model survey	256
Storing Data Sets for Further Analysis	257
Chapter Six	259
Discussion	
Reporting Case Study Research	259
Target audience	259
Generalisation	260
Chronological reporting	260
Data selection and reporting	260
Research Contextualization	262
Cell phone technology acceptance	262
Research setting	262
Project design	263
Research method	264
Data collection	265
Data analysis categories	266
Discussion	266
Research question	266
Pre-Intervention Survey: Discussion Concerning Access to and Perception of Technology	267
Rating of cell phone device	268
Prior experience with technology and knowledge of target language is no longer a predictor	269
English education background effect on pre-intervention survey responses	269
Prior experience with technology effect on pre-intervention survey responses	270
Studying English with a cell phone	270
Learning Strategies and Production Process from the Weekly Diaries	272
Preparation time	272
Recording session	273
Strategies	274
Preparation strategy	275
Speech memorisation strategies	276
Strategies used to improve speech	276
Error identification	277
Summary of production process	278
Linguistic Improvements	279
Overall Words Spoken	279

Lexical item analysis.....	280
K1000.....	280
K2000.....	281
Academic word list.....	281
Learner Perspectives from Post-Intervention Survey.....	282
Perception of speaking experience.....	282
Perception of technology.....	283
Perception of the themes.....	283
Theoretical framework.....	284
Chapter Seven.....	289
Conclusion	
Limitations.....	289
Sample size.....	289
Contextualization.....	290
Delayed reaction.....	290
Lexical item range.....	290
Alternative tests.....	291
Technology acceptance model.....	291
Critical thinking.....	292
Speaking anxiety.....	292
A case against extreme values.....	293
Implications from the Findings.....	294
Conclusion.....	298
Case Study.....	299
Proposition.....	301
Recommendations for future research.....	302
Concluding statement.....	302
References.....	304

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to the participants of this project for their generosity and willingness to explore personal speaking practices and to share their experiences and opinions openly. I thank the participants for having faith that their experiences could contribute to the integration of technology in the language classroom and to the improvement of language learning. I am aware of the tremendous trust and responsibility given to myself as researcher in gathering and representing their responses with integrity.

I am also indebted to Tohoku University for providing me with the opportunity and trust to conduct research at their institution. Working and researching at Tohoku University will remain one of the most powerful life experiences in my memory.

Contributors to this book

This book would not have been possible without the guidance of my mentor Professor Neil Anderson (James Cook University, School of Education). I am ever grateful for your expertise and detailed understanding of case study based research and technology integration in the classroom.

Also, I would like to thank Associate Supervisor(s): Dr. Reesa Sorin, (James Cook University, School of Education), for agreeing to mentor me, for listening and offering advice and guidance with new professional self-reflections.

Personal

Research reflects Vygotsky's scaffolding method. At every stage of my life, influential people have shared and gifted to me a personal skill or attitude towards learning. I am grateful to my mother for showing me that every challenge is conquerable. To the Dunoyer family for imparting a love for knowledge and believing that one day I could achieve great things. To the Berger family for sharing a love of and respect to life, and trust in the world. To the Norris Schubert family for their support and space. Finally to my wife for her consistency and stable support and my

children Sebastien and Maximilien for giving me the courage to endure and for setting a plateau from which they can grow their research wings.

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

Japanese students are renowned for their ability to master reading comprehension and for their retention of vocabulary and grammatical structures. They are also renowned for their shyness with speaking English. Research emerging out of Japan has often reported on studies to enhance reading, writing, and listening skills, often because it is easy to develop testing materials or use technology to enhance these skills. But limited research from Japan has investigated methods and approaches for enhancing autonomous speaking skills.

Research studies about cell phone integration in education have investigated the use of Short Message Service (SMS) for enhancing students' writing skills and the use of the photo camera to establish users' identities and to engage students to document class content. Research out of Japan has explored the social impact of cell phones and some educationalists have explored the merit of using this tool to expose students to specific target language lexical items.

This single holistic case study investigates the potential of the cell phone video recording feature to enhance students' speaking abilities. Sixty-seven Japanese second year university undergraduate English learners, in four different classes, used the video recording feature on their cell phones to produce weekly video productions. The task required participants to produce thirteen, 30-second videos in English on various teacher-selected topics. One video per week, per student, over thirteen weeks, was produced. The purpose of this research was to investigate the impact of using the video recording feature regularly to enhance oral performance in the target language.

To enhance student content production, some researchers have argued that by combining content learning with sustained practice and project-based learning, educators can increase students' acquisition and eventually improve retention. Thus by combining language learning with independent learning, technology-assisted learning and project-based learning, it is anticipated that students would be able to become more confident speakers. The learning outcomes are based on active learning, as the students learn by using both the technology and the target language to construct content on a given topic. Through the construction of videos, students learn to operate the technology to produce suitable visual

resources. They also learn to use the language to express their opinions and to use their voices effectively to produce comprehensible audio resources. Cell phone technology provides students the opportunity to produce videos anytime and anywhere. By producing these videos on a regular basis in locations of their choice, they are learning to represent their knowledge through videos. Students are also encouraged to keep track of their videos, thus potentially enhancing their ability to improve their audiovisual performances.

Consequently, the research question enquires: Is the cell phone video recording feature a suitable learning tool for Japanese students learning to speak English? The proposition is that using the cell phone video recording feature regularly to produce audiovisual content in the target language will enhance students' speaking abilities. To address this research question and to accept or refute this proposition, both qualitative and quantitative data was utilised to evaluate students' performances. Five units of analysis were established. First, the video speeches were transcribed and analysed in terms of words spoken per second. Second, the cell phone video content was viewed to establish location and visual cues participants used to enhance viewer comprehension. Third, students were also required to fill in a weekly report to document their video recording process and strategies. Fourth, students were invited for a video recorded interview organised in two stages. In the first stage, students demonstrated their video production process. In the second stage, they were interviewed regarding their production process as well as their opinion of the project. Finally, students also completed pre- and post-intervention surveys to collect their opinions of the project.

Data were analysed by comparing students' individual performances at the beginning and end of the term. Individual students' data were also compared against the peers in their class and against the data from other peers in other classes, so as to understand the significance of the individual student's performances. The weekly video performances indicated that some students were able to increase the number of words they spoke in their speeches over the length of the term. The feedback gathered from the weekly reports indicated that students used different strategies to produce their speeches and their videos. The responses suggested that these changes depended on the perceived difficulty of the weekly topic. The pre- and post-intervention surveys indicated that participants believed that using the cell phone video recording feature was a useful activity. However, they did not believe that such a task was practical for other courses. The discussion emphasises that students were able to make progress and they

believed that the video recording feature on their cell phones was a suitable educational tool.

Some recommendations for future research are identified, both with regards to using cell phones as learning tools and engaging students to speak more spontaneously. In addition, some areas for further research are outlined, such as the need to conduct a study which can provide more generalisable evidence, as well as the need to define a better method for identifying extreme cases.

The foundation of the investigation and recommendations are based on a review of selected literature with depth and breadth so as to be able to contribute crucial evidence that adds to the current research on the educational benefits of using cell phones as learning tools in the language classroom. In particular, it identified the processes that enhanced and constrained students from using the technology and the target language effectively to produce comprehensible and viewable audiovisual resources. While keeping generalisability in mind, the evidence collected through this case study revealed that whereas in the past researchers focused on using cell phones to enhance reading and writing skills, it is now possible to use the video recording feature to enhance learners' speaking skills.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Context and Rationale

As a researcher and a teacher, my teaching experience in Japan included working in primary, secondary, and cram schools and eventually at the tertiary level. It was of great concern to me as an educator that by the time students completed my course, many of them were still unable to speak spontaneously. Japanese students are well known for having strong reading, writing, and listening abilities. But after six years of participating in the national English education program, students entering university are poor English speakers (Hinkelman & Grose, 2005; Sullivan & Schatz, 2009). During their university studies, students are exposed to an extra two years of compulsory English. By the time they graduate students are not confident speakers (Carney, 2006). As a Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) lecturer at a national university, I decided that instead of focusing on improving students' reading and writing skills, I would focus on enhancing their ability to speak without notes.

To improve students' speaking skills, I decided to move away from drill and practice and to apply a task-based situated learning approach. The task to engage students to speak about a topic of interest to them would become the means to provide them with the confidence to speak spontaneously. While Nunan (1988) explained that drilling was necessary with beginner students, Cummins (1983) asserted that more advanced learners needed to be challenged with more demanding real-life tasks.

The problem I encountered with a communicative learning approach is that face-to-face communication with one student at a time is a luxury that many university lecturers do not have. University demands on lecturers and large class size can diminish the best of communicative language learning intentions. Technology integration in the language classroom is one possible solution worth investigating.

Consumer-Friendly Costs and Specifications

In a way similar to that of the industrial revolution, the technology revolution has placed electronic devices at the forefront of every human activity. For example, current technological developments reveal that cell phones and portable devices are gaining popularity. Cell phone popularity is influencing the presence of market leaders. In 2013 it was predicted that investment in smartphones and tablet computers would lead to a change in technology market leader; Microsoft the computer leader being replaced by Google the smartphone and Tablet Android leader (Napach, 2013). To gain an appreciation of leadership change, investment in technology and the effects of its integration in the daily life of consumers, Google bought Motorola, not for its hardware, but rather for the “17,000 patents” in its range of mobile devices (Liedtke & Svensson, 2011, 4C).

As competition and demand for technology increases, the cost of production decreases and the cost to consumers becomes more affordable. Increased production affects computer part demands and thus reduces the cost of components. In addition, to increase demand for mobile technologies, some governments have subsidized the cost of production (Curwen, 2002). Also, service providers have introduced “plans” in which the cost of the device is subsidized by the profits from a longer term service contract. The combination of decreased cost of production and government intervention makes the final product more easily accessible to consumers regardless of their financial status. Such economical affordances translates into 7.5 billion Mobile connections and 3.7 billion “unique mobile subscribers” worldwide (GSMA Intelligence, 2015).

The interest in mobile technology lies in the fact that, whereas in the past computer users could only work or be entertained at their desks, more portable technology has enabled computer users to carry the technology with them. This transition from a sedentary to a more mobile lifestyle has redefined the purpose of mobile technology and its advantages, which led to its popularity growth. The prevalent emergence and further development of technology means that people today have access to all the tools and resources needed to independently produce audiovisual online content (Friedman, 2005). As individuals, people can create digital material directly from their surroundings or they can access forums and social networking sites to share with peers resources not formerly readily available to them, but relevant to the message they wish to deliver. Creating and accessing information can be done anytime and anywhere at the discretion of the mobile user. Mobile Assisted Language Learning

(MALL) has capitalised on this and given rise to an ever-increasing range of learning options.

Choosing the right technology can prove problematic. The wide range of interest in technology integration in the classroom reveals that researchers are testing all types of devices for learning purposes, ranging from electronic dictionaries to iPods (O'Brien & Hegelheimer, 2007), and game consoles such as Nintendo DS Lite (Kondo et al., 2012). I have tested iPods and cell phones as learning tools and found that there are greater opportunities with cell phones.

I noticed that subscribers included teachers and students who had wide-ranging perceptions of and abilities with their cell phones. As consumers, educators can benefit from understanding how to use cell phones and their features in order to offer more relevant learning experiences to their students. Educators can not only become more aware and appreciative of their students' technology competencies, but they also need to develop the skills to effectively integrate technology in the learning environment. The increasing investment in cell phones from both manufacturers and consumers led me to believe that, as a cell phone subscriber, a teacher and a researcher, I had a vested interest in exploring further the educational benefits that cell phones as a learning tool could provide my students in order to enable them to enhance their speaking skills.

Historical Background of Research Project

By the year 2000, the internet offered a variety of interactive websites that allowed users to make simple animated movies, including Dfilm (now Dvolver Moviemaker). Dvolver provides simple animated backgrounds and characters that users choose and then write a script to create their own movie. The short animated message can then be emailed to a friend. Gromik (2003) reported that such software provided high school Japanese students with an engaging way to use written English.

After the students had produced their animated videos, they could create a team and use a video camera to reproduce a live version of their animation. The animation offers students an example of the potential scene and storyline. Scaffolded projects provide students with an interesting and enjoyable, controlled environment that engages them to speak in the target language. This initial project launched a greater exploration of the potential of video production as a teaching and learning tool.

Cell phone research

In 2007, Thornton and Houser delivered a speech regarding the use of cell phones to increase students' exposure to text-based lexical items. After listening to the details of their project conducted in Osaka, I considered the possibility of using portable devices to engage students to speak. Conducting an extensive literature review concerning cell phone technology led to the observation that the potential of the cell phone video recording feature as a learning tool needed to be more fully understood (Gromik, 2009). Therefore, new research was needed to provide an evidence base for the expanded use of mobile devices in second language acquisition.

Thornton and Houser's presentation was based on their 2005 publication, which was the only available evidence at that time concerning cell phone use by Japanese students for language learning purposes. International publications, especially from the US, concentrated more on personal digital assistant devices (PDAs), digital voice recorders, and MP3 technology. As this was an emerging area of research, I relied on prior experience with integrating digital video production in the language classroom as the foundation of the research that ensues.

Preliminary cell phone research with seven participants

Preliminary research with a small group of participants was necessary to test the technology, the process for integration, and the language learning outcomes. At the time, I was allocated a class of advanced English learners, with near native-like speaking abilities. This group of seven students was deemed appropriate since they would be able to discuss their experiences without difficulty and contribute to the research project as a whole.

Action research methodology was appropriate given the need for cycles of development, testing, and improvement, and a socio-constructivist framework of learning was an ideal theoretical foundation to anchor an understanding of the students' learning outcomes. The outcome of this research revealed that students enjoyed creating diary-type videos with their cell phones about various aspects of their lives (Gromik, 2009a). Students involved in the study reported that the process of storing their cell phone video recorded performances online was user-friendly and they appreciated the value of writing about the reasons for producing each particular video. The next step was to attempt to conduct the same research on a larger scale. Prior experience with video production, cell

phone research and a preliminary literature review indicated that it would be possible to consider investigating the cell phone video recording feature as a language tool to enhance speaking.

Research Question

This case study research reports the educational benefits of engaging students to use their mobile phones to create audiovisual files in the target language. There is one main research question:

Is the cell phone video recording feature a suitable tool for Japanese students learning to speak English?

This question aims to identify the advantages and disadvantages of using the cell phone video recording feature as a language learning tool. The data was collected in terms of learning strategy use, linguistic improvements gained, and learner perspectives on using mobile technology for learning. To determine the educational merit of using this device to enhance speaking abilities, students completed weekly videos in the target language on randomly selected themes. The research was conducted at a Japanese national university. The sample group was comprised both male and female Japanese second year undergraduate students from various departments.

Methodology

A mixed-method single case study is used to explore the use of the cell phone video recording feature by Japanese undergraduate language learners. A single case study is used to address the research question and to report evidence from extreme cases. The single case study is conducted with four classes of second year students, each with varying participant numbers from the in situ environments where the research is conducted. The sixty-nine participants are between 19 and 21 years old and have a similar educational background.

The mixed method collects both qualitative and quantitative evidence from surveys, video performances, weekly diaries, interviews, and observations. Data analysis includes non-parametric statistical tests using the quantitative data and generic qualitative data analysis reports on students' opinions about the benefits of this learning approach. Surveys report learners' and groups' perceptions of the project. Speaking performances are analysed in terms of word output per second and lexical item range. Interviews and videotaped observations report students'

personal accounts of the advantages or disadvantages of conducting the project.

Scope of the Study

This study does not aim to understand how Japanese learners acquire a second language, nor does it aim to research how they use the language to express their opinion. Rather, the objective of the research is to investigate the use of cell phone technology to verbally communicate an opinion in the target language.

Undergraduate Japanese students have six years of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) exposure at junior and senior high schools, so they have had six years to acquire the language by the time they enter university. To some extent, as a teacher I was concerned with the extent to which undergraduate learners could use the language that they have acquired during their formal schooling and as part of the prerequisite English foundation course provided by universities. Understanding the impact that technology can have on students' learning outcome is a warranted research effort. Central to this objective is to understand how technology can be utilised to engage Japanese undergraduate learners to deepen their ability to communicate.

Using cell phones as part of educational research is not a novel focus. Some researchers have investigated the use of short message services (SMS) to expose students to new vocabulary. Others have inquired about the use of cell phones to maintain online public and private identities. More recent research has explored the ethical use of cell phones in public places. In addition, using technology for communication can include many devices (digital tape recorders, flip cameras, digital cameras, iPods, phones) and functions. While all these devices and issues are pertinent, they are separate research areas of their own and thus are beyond the scope of this paper. The scope of this paper is to investigate the appropriateness of the cell phone video recording feature as a language learning tool. The objective is to document practical methods for integrating the video recording feature and to report on students' use and perception of the educational benefits of this learning approach.

While the use of the video recording feature will lead to a collection of speaking performances, these will not be analysed according to Second Language Acquisition (SLA) discourse analysis practices. Rather the data will be used to observe how students have used the video recording feature to communicate. For example, one research focus documents whether students prefer to create videos in private or public spaces. The feedback

provided by the students will be analysed to determine whether or not these students believe that the use of the cell phone enabled them to improve their speaking abilities. The objective is to understand the relationship between the regularity of completing the task due to the technology's mobility and students' abilities to become spontaneous speakers because of regular video productions.

Therefore, the scope of the study is concerned with student-cell phone interaction for the purpose of extending learning. Hence, this case study investigates the benefits and challenges of cell phone interaction in terms of *what* the students do with the technology and *how* they are doing it (Dourish, 2004; Yin, 2003).

Book Overview

Chapter Two provides a review of the literature to discuss prior use of cell phone technology relevant to this case. The gaps in the literature are identified to justify the need for research on cell phone use in the communicative classroom, as well as to assist in the choice of collection methods and analysis.

Chapter Three justifies the selection of single case study as a suitable research methodology for this study. The literature concerning the advantages and disadvantages of case study research is reviewed in order to ensure potential weaknesses are addressed and the strengths of the method are fully capitalised. A strength of case study research lies in the use of extreme cases and it allows for careful examination of phenomena within a particular context.

Chapter Four describes the data collection method. The general characteristics of the case location and the participants are provided to set the study within a clear and comprehensible context. In addition, the literature is further reviewed to determine whether or not previous data collection processes were successful and may be of use to this case study. Findings from the literature review are also used to structure the surveys, provide justification for the participants' task design, and to offer some insight and guidance for data analysis.

Chapter Five describes the process for analysing the data. Definitions for data analysis are presented first, followed by analysis and results. The analysis is divided into general findings, class findings, and extreme cases. The decision to divide the results into these three groups is to extrapolate and isolate the extreme cases from which further evidence is advanced.

Chapter Six discusses the findings from Chapter Five and positions them within the relevant literature. While the findings are reported in line

with the data collection method, possible reasons for the findings are presented.

In the closing chapter, the research aims are revisited and final conclusions are made. In addition, directions for future research are suggested and a description of the limitations is provided.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

To understand the importance of mobile learning (m-learning) to educational outcomes, a conceptual definition of the word ‘learning’ is required. Learning is the active process of acquiring knowledge. Learning occurs anytime and anywhere through interactions with individuals or groups and not necessarily through the use of tools (such as technology) and the natural environment (Naismith, Lonsdale, Vavoula, & Sharples, 2004). Learning also involves the merging of prior knowledge and the formation of new knowledge (Bruner, 1966). For example, when walking through a museum, an individual observes, notices, and may attempt to remember visual or textual information. If accompanied, discussions, reflections, and experiences will be shared during this visit. To remember some of the information, an individual might draw pictures, write notes, or take photos or brief video footage. So, learning does not take place only in the classroom but also takes place outside it. Learning is ubiquitous. Anything can be a source of learning; it is up to the individual to use that information either instantly or to store and retrieve it at a later time.

Electronic learning (e-learning) has capitalised on this aspect of learning to offer opportunities with desktops, CD-ROMs, and an internet connection for students to access authentic resources (Peters, 2005). As the technology has advanced, some teachers have begun to investigate and use new devices, such as digital voice recorders instead of analogue voice recorders. More recently, digital devices have been replacing analogue completely. The teaching methodologies have often remained the same, but the technological advances and the lower costs have allowed more schools to access electronic hardware. This has led to a shift from immobile location-specific learning to exploring the benefits of mobile learning.

M-learning is an extension of e-learning. Both share the principal idea of using technology as a tool to learn, but with m-learning students can purchase and control the technology they use. Many students, as consumers, can obtain laptops, ultrabooks, netbooks, iPads, MP3 and other portable media players (PMPs), digital cameras, and cell phones at a

reasonable price, since the price relative to income has greatly decreased. The accessibility of affordable technology, as Friedman (2005) explained, created an environment where learners gained access to internet files, information, and data that they could manipulate to meet their needs and publish online to share with peers anytime and anywhere at their convenience (see also O'Malley et al., 2003). Such possibilities led to the emergence of m-learning, whereby learning methods changed to accommodate new learning needs and preferences.

In Australian education parlance students are clients, consumers of educational products (Peters, 2005). Students are also consumers of technology and subscribers to various services that they carry with them everywhere (Kukulka-Hulme & Traxler, 2005; Peters, 2005). As consumers, students can choose the type of equipment they need to interact online and with peers. For entertainment purposes, students originally used a computer to access audiovisual resources. With the arrival of portable media players, they began to carry audiovisual resources with them in order to learn, listen, or play on the move. Eventually, the emergence of more complex portable hardware like smartphones and tablet PCs gave students omnipresent access to all the services they require. The emergence of new technology and the plentiful and free audiovisual content it delivers means that students have constant access to resources that either reinforce their perceptions or open their minds to new views.

The emergence of e- and m-learning has led to a shift from learning within the confines of the classroom with the assistance of a teacher to the choice and ability of students to learn more independently and develop a knowledge base dependent on the individual's needs; or as Van Lier (1996) explained, the learners have control over the location, time, content, and process of learning. Students who use m-learning can foster lifelong skills of discipline and independence. Learning in the classroom is also being redefined, because e- and m-learning are conducted in more collaborative environments where learners participate in experiential learning in order to develop lifelong learning skills (Australian Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations, 2009; Australian Department of Education, Science and Training, 2005).

M-learning might be a useful tool that can help facilitate and enhance the learning experience, but it also has limitations. To begin with, technology cannot replace the teacher or services and support offered by schools and learning institutions, but it can complement the educational experience. Additionally, learning with mobile technology is sometimes seen as disruptive, distracting and not 'real learning' because teachers

have no control over it. For example, for some professors, technology is perceived as a threat to learning. Alexander (2004) reported a case in which a law professor in Texas “climb[ed] a ladder to disconnect a wireless access point” (p. 1) because he did not agree with his students being connected wirelessly while they attended his class. In another case, the iPod was banned in some schools across the US due to concerns about cheating (Guyto, 2007). Educators are not the only ones to struggle with the ubiquitous use and presence of mobile devices. Librarians are also facing difficulties establishing policies to accommodate the penetration of new technology within their milieu (Lever & Katz, 2007). Similarly, in Japan, cell phones have been banned in some schools because teachers and parents believe they distract children from learning (Johnston, 2009). Cell phones have also been perceived as disrupting teaching (Mifsud, 2002). Indeed it has been noted that students’ access to affordable technology could create an imbalance between teachers’ skills and interests and students’ technological aptitudes. Teachers may not always be able to keep up with the rapid changes taking place in and outside their classrooms (Brown, 2001). Peters (2005) suggests that teachers may not be able to appreciate the potential that affordable technology offers learners.

MALL Research

M-learning is a portmanteau term for learning in any environment with any technological hardware that can be carried (Ballard, 2007; Vinu, Sherimon, & Krishnan, 2011). Ubiquitous learning (u-learning) is a branch of m-learning that refers to the fact that technology is implanted in the environment (Ballard, 2007). Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) extends on this definition by connecting portability with the ability to complete any activity with technology at any time, through access to the internet either directly or indirectly, in order to assist consumers and learners in learning independently (Wu, Wu, Chen, Kao, Lin, & Huang, 2012). Research on MALL usage in the classroom includes using: outside classroom activities with computers, mobile devices, and software (Jones, Scanlon, & Clough, 2013) to engage students in inquiry-based learning, or with tablet personal computers (PCs) to promote business services to consumers (Sheng, Nah, & Siau, 2005); pocket PCs for training healthcare workers in the classroom (Kneebone & Brenton, 2005); iPods for content delivery (O’Brien & Hegelheimer, 2007), content exploration (Gromik, 2008) in the English language or in a tourism industry course (Dale, 2007), or across the Duke University campus (Belanger, 2005); or to investigate tablet PC influence on “learning

processes” (Alvarez, Brown, & Nussbaum, 2011, p. 834). Kindle e-readers (Hearn & McCaslin, 2010), and MP3 voice recorders (Sole, Calic, & Neijmann, 2010) were used, as well as PDAs to collect information in the public health sector (Yu, de Courten, Pan, Galea, & Pryor, 2009) or to learn Chinese (Chang, Lin, Lee, & Lai, 2006). Also, while the game console Nintendo was used to learn English (Kondo, Ishikawa, Smith, Sakamoto, Shimomura, & Wada, 2012), smartphones were used to play games (Liu & Chu, 2010), create videos (Gromik, 2006) or to complete text-based activities (Kennedy & Levy, 2008; Stockwell, 2008). The “video forum add-on program” for the Learning Management System (LMS) Moodle was investigated by Collins and Hunt (2011, p. 324) but their research does not clearly explain the tools that participants used to create their videos. In their review of the trends in mobile learning, Wu et al. (2012) categorised the literature into two types “(1) evaluation-dominant with application-minor or (2) design-dominant with evaluation-minor” (p. 820). Wu et al.’s research outcome reveals that MALL research covers a wide range of devices, from mobile phones to electronic dictionaries and even satellite TV. While most researchers focus on the technology and its affordances, Ballard (2007) reminds researchers that “‘Mobile’ refers to the user, and not the device or the application.” (p. 3).

The MALL literature is extensive but, as the brief overview above reveals, there does not seem to be a consistent niche for each device. For example, Kukulka-Hulme and Bull (2009) postulate that any type of technological device can encourage students to ‘notice’ language in action in the real world. This suggestion does not take into consideration that each device is designed for a specific purpose. For example, an MP3 recorder will not work as an electronic dictionary and vice versa. In addition, current technological developments have enabled more devices to include more features. Consumers and learners will define their interaction with the device depending on the features it possesses. During the process of collecting appropriate research articles, it was determined that it may not be suitable to compare research on iPod or iPad integration in various environments with cell phone integration because each of these devices has different features and intended purposes. As technological devices continue to be equipped with more and similar features (most mobile devices can receive and send SMS, for example), consumers may shift towards one device rather than owning several devices. For example, since most cell phones can take photos, fewer consumers are purchasing cameras. However, technology seems to have arrived at a cross road where manufacturers and consumers are undecided as to the appropriate size of the perfect mobile device. While there is a divide between selecting a