

African American Women's Language

African American Women's Language:
Discourse, Education, and Identity

Edited by

Sonja L. Lanehart

CAMBRIDGE
SCHOLARS

P U B L I S H I N G

African American Women's Language: Discourse, Education, and Identity,
Edited by Sonja L. Lanehart

This book first published 2009

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

12 Back Chapman Street, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2XX, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2009 by Sonja L. Lanehart and contributors

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-1359-1, ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-1359-4

For my awesome God,
who blesses me in spite of myself.

For my family,
who always believe in me even when I do not

For all the Black women in my life,
who have helped me come to believe what filmmaker Julie Dash knew:
“In my world, Black women can do anything.”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	xi
Foreword	xiii
Just Take Me As I Am Marcyliena Morgan	
Contributors.....	xxv
Introduction	1
African American Women’s Language <i>Sonja L. Lanehart</i>	
Part One: Language and Identity	
Chapter One.....	18
From Jezebels to Nappy Headed Hos: African American Adolescent Girls’ Language and Identity <i>Adrienne D. Dixson and Jessica T. DeCuir-Gunby</i>	
Chapter Two.....	35
My Mother Tongue: A Linguistic Autoethnography <i>Peggy Jones</i>	
Chapter Three.....	51
“We Just Sound So Nice”: Language and Identity among Black Bermudian Women <i>Iyabo F. Osiapem</i>	
Part Two: Discourse, Grammar, and Variation	
Chapter Four.....	76
Theorizing African American Women’s Language: <i>GIRL</i> as a Discourse Marker <i>Arthur K. Spears</i>	

Chapter Five	91
Rhetorical Markers in Speech of Girls' Developing African American Language	
<i>Lisa Green and Tracy Conner</i>	
Chapter Six	110
“The Way I Can Speak for Myself”: The Social and Linguistic Context of Counseling Interviews with African American Adolescent Girls in Washington, DC	
<i>Christine Mallinson and Tyler Kendall</i>	
Chapter Seven.....	127
Growing Up with Two “Black Languages”: Kinship and Attitudes toward Jamaican Creole and African American Language	
<i>Alicia Beckford Wassink</i>	
Chapter Eight.....	142
Authentically Black, Bona Fide Pittsburgher: A First Look at Intonation in African American Women’s Language in Pittsburgh	
<i>Shelome Gooden</i>	
Chapter Nine.....	165
“People Say I Speak Proper, but Girl, I’m Ghetto!”: Regional Dialect Use and Adaptation by African American Women in Pennsylvania’s Lower Susquehanna Valley	
<i>Jennifer Bloomquist</i>	
Part Three: Film and Literature	
Chapter Ten	184
Discourses of the Black Female in Contemporary Film	
<i>Tani D. Sanchez</i>	
Chapter Eleven	196
<i>Silencio Redl</i> : Silences and Voices in Afro-Latina Language in <i>I Am Cuba</i> and <i>Anne B. Real</i>	
<i>Grisel Y. Acosta</i>	

Chapter Twelve	212
Intimacy and Interlocution in Early Rhode Island: The Case of Eleanor Eldridge <i>Joycelyn Moody</i>	
Chapter Thirteen	228
Struggles Creating African American Women's Language and Character Dialogue in <i>Take Her Man</i> <i>Calaya M. Reid</i>	
Chapter Fourteen	245
Stand Up and Speak Out: "Oppositional Talk" in the Discourse of African American Girls <i>Terry Meier</i>	
Part Four: Performance and Community	
Chapter Fifteen	262
Into the Breach: Representing the Messy Truths of Black Women's Hair and Language Practices <i>Lanita Jacobs-Huey</i>	
Chapter Sixteen	276
Church Lady Talk: African American Women's Language in the Church <i>Charles E. DeBose</i>	
Chapter Seventeen	291
Gender Ideologies in Hip Hop Feminism and Performances of Black Womanhood <i>Elaine Richardson</i>	
Index	305

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Since coming to the University of Texas, San Antonio, as the first Brackenridge Endowed Chair in Literature and the Humanities, I have felt the responsibility and necessity for using my powers for good and knowing that with such great goods, so to speak, come great responsibility. I hope and believe that I have lived up to the needs and responsibilities of the honor. As such, I give many thanks for the Brackenridge Foundation for their financial support and generosity.

I have spent my time with such an honor, in part, contributing to providing a spotlight on language use in the African American community in general and African American women in particular. By being able to organize and host the first ever conference on African American Women's Language (AAWL) in San Antonio, March 2008, I believe the expression of my labors was just beginning. I did not complete this first project on AAWL alone and it is time to give thanks where thanks are due.

I would like to thank my husband, Paul, and my son, Isaac, for their unwavering support and encouragement. They endured me through my many impossible days and provided the time, space, and attention I needed to complete this book.

In addition to my family, others have been of great support and inspiration to me. In particular, I thank Geneva Smitherman for her leadership in African American Language in general and AAWL in particular. She is truly the Mother of the Word when it comes to AAWL. I thank my Sistas, Joycelyn Moody, Deborah Thomas, Kinitra Brooks, Rhonda Gonzales, Marcheta Evans, Danesha Little, Elaine Richardson, Kelly Rodgers, and Barbara McCaskill for all their ideas, comments, counsel, mentoring, and friendship.

I thank my Linguistics uncle, Arthur Spears, and my Linguistics brother, H. Samy Alim, for supporting me in whatever research I endure and pushing me to do ever more. And I thank my Linguistics parents, John and Angela Rickford, for providing me and many other scholars with an example for scholarly leadership, passion, and devotion. And I thank all those who participated in the AAWL conference as speakers and attendees.

I thank College of Liberal and Fine Arts Dean Dan Gelo for the invaluable personnel resources he provided. I thank College of Education

and Human Development Dean Betty Merchant, English Department Chair Bridget Drinka, Administrative Associate Reina Vargas, and the UTSA community for its support.

I thank my former University of Texas English Language and Linguistics professors, Thomas Cable, Gary Underwood, and John Baugh for leading me in this area of research. And I thank my University of Michigan chair, Richard W. Bailey, for allowing me and showing me that I can always pursue my passion and not simply my obligations.

I thank all those graduate and undergraduate students in my AAWL course Spring 2008 who helped make the conference and this book even possible: Terri Pantuso, Donna Tucker, Irma Rosas, Valeria de Leon, and Liberta “Libby” Quiroz. I especially extend my gratitude to all the hard work Terri Pantuso did for the AAWL conference as my Research Assistant. She did a phenomenal job and the conference would not have been possible without her. I also thank my Research Assistant Irma Rosas for her work on meticulously proofing the style format of several chapters.

Though it would have been difficult to do any of this without my family, the others named, and those who love the language, it would have been impossible to do any of it without the mercy and grace of God.

FOREWORD

JUST TAKE ME AS I AM

MARCYLIENA MORGAN

She's been down and out
She's been wrote about
She's been talked about - constantly
She's been up and down
She's been pushed around...

So take me as I am,
Or have nothing at all.
Just take me as I am,
Or have nothing at all.
(Mary J. Blige "Take Me As I Am")

In the mid 1970s, I had the opportunity to see the great Beryl Bailey, the first African American woman linguist, deliver a tearful and bitter plenary address where she derided her colleagues for the racism and sexism in her field. It was not what I expected. The professors who invited me to the session assumed that I would witness an address by a Black linguist of Jamaican descent who would inspire me to consider linguistics as my field of study. Many of the linguistic superstars who were present tried to console me by saying that Professor Bailey had overreacted and was being "emotional." My youth, race, and gender made me think otherwise and I imagined that there must be a good reason that she would choose such a public platform to speak directly about perceived injustices to friends and colleagues. I was sure that what I witnessed could not be dismissed as emotion. I resolved that I would never forget her bravery and determination as she stood there in front of thousands, in ill health, trying to deliver a message they would never forget and one that might address issues of racism and sexism in linguistics. Beryl Bailey's speech was passionate. It focused on a real problem and challenged those present to do something about it. I admit that the intensity of her bitterness took me

aback. I decided at that moment that if I went to graduate school I would never allow myself to feel betrayed, defeated, and bitter to the extent that I regretted my decision to pursue linguistics, something I loved. As Aretha Franklin (1965) warned: “How cold and cruel is the (wo)man who paid too much for what (s)he got?”

I had no idea what actually awaited me. In my first linguistics class in graduate school, I, along with everyone at the time, was introduced to the standard sentence for presuppositions: “When did you stop beating your wife?” Young women in my class consistently argued, “Do we need this type of sexist example to teach presupposition?” If endless sexist examples were not bad enough, it was particularly disturbing to find that in order to learn about African American Vernacular English, I also learned that ‘regular’ Black men were criminals, gang members, drug dealers, pimps, hustlers, etc. Moreover, the Black men who went to work everyday, attended church, supported their family, etc. were described as not part of urban Black culture, and therefore not linguistically interesting. Of course, we recognize now that while vernacular culture refers to ordinary people, it does not mean that behavior of those involved in illegal activity is necessarily representative of a community. Sociolinguists at that time relied on males who were available and looked to social life that was different from White middle class. Of course the linguistic data reflected language use in the community, but it probably led to some distortions of the extent and areas of variation.

The grammatical examples from these so-called ‘regular’ Black men included descriptions of Black women that crept into the linguistic and social science literature. These men had a lot to say about Black women—and it was not pleasant. It was as if the field of linguistics was asking us to consider the question: “When did Black women stop being controlling and oversexed bitches?” What did these ‘objective’ linguistic examples imply about Black women? What did they imply about Black men and the community in general? What are the implications for future research on the language of African American women? What has been the price we have had to pay?

While the types of sexist and often racist examples described above are rare today, their existence in the field of linguistics exacted a serious toll. As Sonja Lanehart states in her introduction to this volume, “To date, there are very few books that address the issue of African American Women’s Language (AAWL) or simply language by African American women (p. 3).” In fact, many in the social sciences and humanities who reference African American Language (AAL) and discourse still rely exclusively on sociolinguistic works published the 1970s. When I point this out to my

colleagues in the social sciences they are surprised that anything of relevance to their descriptions and analyses of the Black community has developed. This may be because in the 1970s the social sciences referenced across developing fields. Because of this state of affairs, there are only a few studies on African American women's language. Yet there are many reasons that descriptions of Black women's language have not been included in the overall literature on African American language and discourse (see Morgan, 1994; Scott, 2004). In general, the portrayal of AAWL has been influenced by (1) multi-disciplinary descriptions of the African American speech community, especially in the social sciences; (2) stereotypes of women and Black women's roles; and (3) stereotypes of Black women's interaction and linguistic styles.

Multi-disciplinary Description of the African American Speech Community

While the descriptions and practices of the 1970s may seem discriminatory today, they were common in the social sciences. As Geneva Smitherman (1988) writes:

The degree to which racism in American linguistic discourse may be materially explicit is governed by changing paradigms in American consciousness. The path of racist expression in linguistic scholarship must be charted simultaneously by the sociohistorical development of "race relations"... and by changing paradigms in the human sciences research tradition. (p. 145)

This was especially true for sociology, the field that at the time described Black urban life. Well into the 1970s Black men and Black women are depicted as pathological in every way, as separate gender groups, both in their relationships to each other, and as a culture and community. One aspect of this depiction of Black relationships as pathological is the idea that Black women have been masculinized and Black men have been feminized in relationship to each other. This view, made so popular by Moynihan's 1965 report (often referred to as the "Moynihan Report"), argues that Black women have become dominant to such a degree that they have emasculated, even castrated, Black men. The report alleges that Black women's pathologically overbearing strength—rather than a history of racism, classism, and sexism—is to blame for the desperate situation of the African American community.

The lives of Black women were actually introduced by folklorists, for whom the Black "subjects" of research were predominantly male (e.g.

Abrahams, 1970, 1976; Folb, 1980; Jackson, 1974.). As Smitherman (1988) reveals, there was a peculiar perspective of many of the predominantly male narratives, which rendered “the content of their speech data primarily sexual (p. 162).” The men who contributed to Bruce Jackson’s (1974) popular collection of toasts (reissued 2004) learned them while in prison. Toasts are ritualized public narratives told mainly by men. (Boasting and bragging found in Hip Hop is often considered derived from toasting rituals.) The main character is constructed as unquestionably heroic and fantastically powerful. His power in any situation is limitless, overcoming all odds, and he is usually misogynistic, brutal to women while rendering them submissive (see also Smitherman, 2000). Jackson explains the role of Black women in toasting.

Sexual relations in toasts are invariably affectionless and usually affectless; the female exists as a device for exercise and articulation of male options, not an integral member of a bilateral relationship... sexual conquest of the female is...important...yet the object of the conquest is consistently denigrated... the conquest has significance only insofar as it is there to be conquered.... One does not conquer the female to have sex; it is *with sex*...one negotiates, executes, and terminates the conquest... In the toasts, verbal agility is often the basis of contest between the pimp and whore: he first bests her in an insult or bragging session, and then superfucks her into adulating respect for “that too.” (1974, p. 17)

While Jackson acknowledges that good women are mentioned in toasts, he says they are not discussed sexually and he doesn’t mention them again. As Smitherman (1988) protests, “There is no denying that the ‘toast world’ is a dimension of Black linguistic tradition; the point, however, is that a slice of Black folk character was presented as the whole (p. 162).” Considering the predominate beliefs of the time, it is no wonder that linguists and folklorists did not take a second look at the assumptions they made about Black women.

Stereotypes of Women and Black Women Roles

The depictions of Black women in the folklore literature resulted in them being viewed as linguistically male in terms of outspokenness, dialect variety, etc. It led to the argument that the speech of the ‘regular’ male is the same as the ‘regular’ female who was the sexual partner of the criminal—and who had no virtue. How could there be Black women’s speech when it had been shown that they were the same as the men? As mentioned above, the description of Black women in *The Moynihan Report* provides the academic and social context in which Black women’s

language was represented. This is especially true in a system where the notion of the “good/normal” woman is constructed against Black women, working class women, and other women of color. Stereotypes of both Black women and White women invoked by discourses emerge from the ideology of the *Cult of True Womanhood*. Barbara Welter (1966) describes this nineteenth century ideology in the following terms:

The attributes of True Womanhood, by which a woman judged herself and was judged by her husband, her neighbors, and her society could be divided into four cardinal virtues—piety, purity, submissiveness, and domesticity. Put them all together and they spelled mother, daughter, sister, wife—woman. Without them, no matter whether there was fame, achievement or wealth, all was ashes. With them, she was promised happiness and power (p. 152).

Though American women learn that they are expected to internalize the ideologies of the Cult of True Womanhood, there is no evidence that this type of discourse is natural and not all women incorporate dominant society's norms as their everyday language ideology (see Philips, 2003). Sherry Ortner (1996), in her analysis of *Grimm's Fairy Tales*, found that females “had to be *made* passive, weak, and timorous, that is, [there was] a recognition that agency in girls had to be *unmade*” (p. 9). Lippi-Green (1997) contends that in most animated films, “For females...and for those who mark their alliance to other cultures and places in terms of language, the world is demonstrably a smaller place” (p. 101). Thus, it is the refusal to be unmade and the resistance to it that can make ‘gender talk’ a very serious proposition. Since Black women are framed as outspoken and not weak and passive, they were not relegated to a position of invisibility, but rather a position of insignificance.

Black feminist scholars have addressed Black women's exclusion from the Cult of True Womanhood as central to their experience of racist and sexist oppression (Collins 1990; Davis 1981; Giddings 1984; Welter 1966). They argue that this ideology did not and was never intended to include Black women. Even in the middle of the nineteenth century, the idealization of White femininity was directly exploited in ways that excluded and perpetuated the degradation of Black femininity. Since they did not benefit from White patriarchy that could or would provide for and protect them, Black women experienced limited freedom to control economic and social aspects of their lives. However, as Mullings (1994) warns, “This window of freedom, narrow and equivocal as it is, poses a problem, a threat to the dominant society's rationalizations of gender hierarchy” (p. 265). They were labeled and routinely worked within the

limitations of contested notions of *mammy*, *matriarch*, *castrator*, *manipulator*, and *whore*.

Black women could not meet the standard of sexual purity and virtue and the exhausting labor of slavery ensured that Black women could not meet the standard of domesticity, which eschewed all women's labor outside one's own home. Yet, as the work of Evelyn Brooks Higginbotham (1993) documents, piety and religious faithfulness was one standard that some Black women were able to fulfill. Hence, in addition to meeting the spiritual needs of enslaved Black women, religious faithfulness also served to protect and promote their identities as "good" women. Consequently, Black women's devotion to family, church, and chastity is central to contemporary discourses of Black femininity and Black female identity. Yet this construction fails to address the ideology of *submissiveness*. Submissiveness is the pillar of the cult of domesticity that is the least frequently discussed in engaging Black women's engagement in that gender ideology; yet it is the one that introduces the most confusion in women's interactions.

Even in the age of feminism and the right for women to participate as equals in Western societies, the nineteenth century notion of the good linguistically submissive woman persists. As Marjorie Goodwin (1998, 2003, 2006) has demonstrated, there remains a somewhat surprising difference in the socialization of language and discourse when one compares middle class girls to lower- and working-class African American and Latina girls. In her studies of young girls, middle class White girls tend to behave as though conflict is disruptive while working-class African American and Latina girls introduce conflict and uncooperative interactions that challenge one's position and consider it a form of play. Thus White middle-class girls learn to play nice where African American and Latina girls learn to be assertive, take and give criticism, and so on.

Stereotypes of interaction and linguistic styles

Considering the prevailing beliefs about Black women's speech during the rise of sociolinguistic research on AAL, it is no wonder that linguists and folklorists did not take a second look at the assumptions they made about Black women. Black women found themselves caught in the shift from powerless and feminine discourse to a style symbolizing a powerful Black masculinity that challenges, threatens, and competes with White masculinity. To assert equal entitlements meant the negotiation of both feminine and masculine discourses, with racist and sexist baggage embedded in both.

As mentioned earlier, the feminist scholarship of the 1970s did not occur in a vacuum, but rather as part of an impressive body of scholarship related to race and the intersections of race and class. Nancy Henley's (1995) comprehensive review of ethnicity and gender issues in linguistics considered the representation of women's language both in the field of linguistics and in society in general and argued that the language of working-class women and women of color has been on the periphery as a unique, marginal, or special case, rather than as one among many examples of language use. Moreover, although there had been a rise in linguistic research on both women and men, there has been little if any research on interactions between Black women and Black men outside of sexual encounters and conflict—in the linguistic world they simply did not interact unless in relation to explicitly misogynistic conversations. As Patricia Bell Scott (1974) lamented, "The English language has dealt a 'low blow' to the self-esteem of developing Black womanhood" (p. 218).

Black women were described as both linguistically conservative and aggressive in interactions with men (Morgan, 1994). This was in large part because women were originally excluded as subjects of research, and the data presented contained numerous canonical grammatical and phonological examples of AAL with content that regularly supported racist stereotypes of African Americans and instances of profanity and references to drug use, violence, and misogyny (e.g., Folb 1980; Kochman 1981). While linguists and folklorists seemed to have no trouble finding linguistic examples that vilified Black women, they seemed to have been unable to collect objective linguistic examples, expressions, and terminology associated with racism, White supremacy, and hegemony and injustice in general—of which there are many throughout African American culture. Although Claudia Mitchell-Kernan (1971) and Geneva Smitherman (1977) provided scholarship based on ethnographic research and participant-observation with numerous rich models and instances of language and interaction, their work was treated as subjective, largely because it did not include extensive salacious examples typical of the times. I interviewed Claudia Mitchell-Kernan in 1993 about the overall reception of her earlier work. In my 1994 article I only mentioned one case where she was referred to as attractive as part of the review of her work. What I did not mention was that while somewhat condescending, Kochman was more supportive than the other scholars working at the time. Mitchell-Kernan showed me letters and documents where some openly tried to prevent her from receiving grants and publishing in major journals. Yet it was Mitchell-Kernan and Smitherman's early research that opened

the door to arguments for new ways to analyze Black women's language and the language of the African American speech community in general.

Sisters to the Rescue

Claudia Mitchell-Kernan and Geneva Smitherman relocated research on African American language and discourse from the streets into the community at large. They did not sanitize the Black experience and Black women's experience. On close reading, there were many examples of women speaking their minds loudly on many topics in their research. Instead, these linguists contextualized speech within a wide variety of topics, community practices, and social and cultural contexts. Their work became models of how to neither stereotype nor fetishize Black cultural behavior in general and language behavior in particular. In *Language Behavior in a Black Urban Community*, Mitchell-Kernan's (1971) study of West Oakland, California, women participated in signifying and linguistic practices similar to men. Yet the variety of content of linguistic and discourse examples meant that everyday life and conversation prevailed rather than a stereotype of oversexed, loud, and bitter Black women. Discourse and verbal genres like loud talking, the dozens, etc. were placed within the context of verbal genres that functioned within the culturally-specific communicative context. Geneva Smitherman (1977) followed with a rich and wide-ranging description and analysis of African American language behavior. In *Talkin and Testifyin: The Language of Black America* (1977), Smitherman celebrated preachers and preaching, poets and toasters, writers, cartoonists, journalists, singers, street philosophers, and all who represented the rich depth of AAL and cultural behavior. Moreover, the examples included content about a range of issues common and important to the Black community, including racism and injustice. These rituals include the game of signifying, he-said-she-said, and instigating. They successfully demonstrated that the linguistic question was not who Black women were most like; rather, they demonstrated that the scholarly question was actually, "Who are Black women from a linguistic, cultural, and discourse perspective?"

Conclusion

African American Women's Language: Discourse, Education, and Identity increases our understanding of complex speech communities and women's practices in language use. Because of the social and political history of African American culture, many speakers of AAL are

hypersensitive to and aware of talk and the consequences of talk. It is the hypersensitivity that caused critiques of previous sociolinguistic and folklorist tendencies that over generalize variation in African American communities and marginalize those who did not participate. Many women would argue that gender difference is not significant in AAVL. They would also argue that the exclusion of women and a variety of social class does not reflect the complex language usage and ideology around language that exists on an everyday basis in the African American speech community. The Black women who worked in linguistics in the 1960s and 1970s: Beryl Bailey, Claudia Mitchell-Kernan, Geneva Smitherman, and Henrietta Cedergren conducted their research and produced at the highest level. We respect them and their insistence on representing the complexities of the linguistic and cultural experience by paying attention to their work and doing our own.

This collection addresses many of the theoretical, social, and interdisciplinary issues in the linguistic study of the U.S. in general and African American community in particular. It does not attempt to either sanitize or sensationalize the language and discourse of African American women. Instead, this collection addresses the language of women in the social and cultural contexts and critiques theoretical assumptions, methodological restraints, and issues concerning complicated linguistic research on African American language use. This volume is an analysis of the language, discourse, style, and interactions of African American women as they are. In spite of having been *down and out, talked about, wrote about and pushed around* there is only one agenda that really matters. The language of African American women should receive the scrutiny, analysis, critique, and scholarly attention and respect awarded all varieties of language. *African American Women's Language: Discourse, Education, and Identity* is a welcomed step in the process.

References

- Abrahams, R. D. (1970). *Deep down in the jungle*. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Co.
- . (1976). *Talking Black*. Rowley, MA: Newbury Press.
- Bailey, B. (1965). Toward a new perspective in Negro English dialectology. *American Speech*, 40, 171-177.
- Blige, M. J. (2005) Take me as I am. In *The breakthrough*. Geffen Records. Writers C. Nelson, E. Lewis, J. Suecof, K. Hilson, L. L. Smith, T. Nkhereanye.

- Collins, P. H. (1990). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment*. New York: Routledge.
- Davis, A. (1981). *Women, race and class*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Folb, E. (1980). *Runnin' down some lines: The language and culture of Black teenagers*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Franklin, A. (1965). Ain't no way. In Sweet, sweet baby (since you been gone). Written by C. Franklin.
- Giddings, P. (1984). *When and where I enter: The impact of Black women on race and sex in America*. New York: William Morrow.
- Goodwin, M. (1998). Games of stance: Conflict and footing in hopscotch. In S. Hoyle and C. T. Adger (Eds.), *Kids talk: Strategic language use in childhood* (pp. 23–46). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- . (2003). The relevance of ethnicity, class, and gender in children's peer negotiations. In J. Holmes and M. Meyerhoff (Eds.), *The handbook of language and gender* (pp. 229–251). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- . (2006). *The hidden life of girls*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Henley, N. (1995). Ethnicity and gender issues in language. In H. Landrine (Ed.), *Bringing cultural diversity to feminist psychology: Theory, research and practice* (pp. 361-396). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Higginbotham, E. B. (1993). *Righteous discontent: The women's movement in the Black Baptist Church, 1880-1920*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Jackson, B. (1974). *Get yo ass in the water and swim like me*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Kochman, T. (1981). *Black and White styles in conflict*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lippi-Green, R. (1997). *English with an accent: Language, ideology, and discrimination in the United States*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Mitchell-Kernan, C. (1971). *Language behavior in a Black urban community*. Berkeley, CA: Language Behavior Research Laboratory.
- Morgan, M. (1994). Theoretical and political arguments in African American English. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 23, 325-45.
- Moynihán, D. P. (1965). *The Negro family: The case for national action*. Washington, DC: United States Government Print Office.
- Mullings, L. (1994). Images, ideology, and women of color. In M. B. Zinn and B. T. Dill (Eds.), *Women of color in U.S. society* (pp. 265–289). Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Ortner, S. B. (1996). *Making gender: The politics and erotics of culture*. Boston: Beacon Press.

- Philips, S. (2003). The power of gender ideologies in discourse. In J. Holmes and M. Meyerhoff (Eds.), *The handbook of language and gender* (pp. 252–276). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Scott, K. D. (2004). Crossing cultural borders: “Girl” and “look” as markers of identity in Black women’s language use. In R. L. Jackson (Ed.), *African American communication and identities: Essential readings* (pp. 165-188). New York: Sage Publications.
- Scott, P. B. (1974). The English language and Black womanhood: A low blow at self-esteem. *Journal of Afro-American Issues*, 2, 218-224.
- Smitherman, G. (1988). Discriminatory discourse on Afro-American speech. In G. Smitherman and T. A. van Dijk (Eds.), *Discourse and discrimination* (pp. 144-174). Detroit: Wayne State University Press.
- . (1977). *Talkin and testifyin: The language of Black America*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- . (2000). *Talkin that talk: Language, culture and education in African America*. London: Routledge.
- Welter, B. (1966). The cult of true womanhood: 1820-1860. *American Quarterly*, 18(2), 151-74.

CONTRIBUTORS

Grisel Y. Acosta is an English doctoral student, University Teaching Fellow, and Hispanic Leadership Fellow at the University of Texas, San Antonio. She has contributed to the forthcoming *Handbook of Latinos/as and Education: Theory, Research and Practice*, and has published several articles on Black Latinos/as in the United States and U.S. Caribbean Latino/a authors. She is the former editor of UTSA's student-run literary journal, *Sagebrush Review*, and is a featured poet in the NAACP nominated *Check the Rhyme: An Anthology of Female Poets and Emcees*, and in various literary journals such as *Mi Poesias*, *Pembroke Magazine*, *After Hours*, and *Private International Photography Review*. Her research interests include multicultural identity in U.S. Caribbean Latino/a texts and education reform that utilizes environmental issues in literature.

Jennifer Bloomquist is Assistant Professor of Linguistics and the Coordinator of the Africana Studies Program at Gettysburg College. A Washington, D.C. native, she attended Clarion University in Pennsylvania where she earned her bachelor's degree in English literature in 1995. She received both her master's and doctoral degrees in linguistics from the University at Buffalo (in 1998 and 2003 respectively) and began teaching at Gettysburg College in January 2002, first in the English department, and then on the faculty of the Africana Studies Program. She has been a member of the Gettysburg Martin Luther King Celebration Committee since 2003 and has served as the faculty advisor of the college NAACP chapter since its inception. Her research focuses on American dialects as well as the variety spoken by African American natives of Pennsylvania's Lower Susquehanna Valley.

Tracy Conner received her BA in Linguistics and MA in Sociology from Stanford University, and she is currently a graduate student in the Communications Disorders program at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Her background in sociolinguistics fuels interdisciplinary research focusing on the acquisition of phonological, prosodic, and grammatical patterns of African American Language for the development of better remediation procedures in speech pathology.

Charles E. DeBose is Professor Emeritus in the Department of English at California State University, East Bay, and an ordained minister in the African Methodist Episcopal Church. His scholarly interests include sociolinguistics, language planning and policy, and translation theory. He is author of *The Sociology of African American Language: A Language Planning Perspective* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2005); *Speech, Language, Learning, and the African American Child* (Allyn and Bacon 1998; co-authors Jean Van Keulen and Gloria Weddington); and a number of book chapters, journal articles, and reviews.

Jessica T. DeCuir-Gunby is Associate Professor of Educational Psychology in the Department of Curriculum & Instruction at North Carolina State University in Raleigh, North Carolina. Her work has been featured in top-tier education journals such as *Educational Psychologist*, *Educational Researcher*, and *Review of Educational Research*. In addition, she is currently serving as Co-PI on two NSF funded grants as the methodologist: the Nurturing Mathematics Dreamkeepers (NMD) grant (\$3.6 million) and the ADVANCE grant for mentoring (\$300,000). Both grants are multidisciplinary and explore important issues in diversity and STEM including the impact of culture on teaching and learning of K-2 mathematics (NMD) and the underrepresentation of women of color in engineering (ADVANCE). DeCuir-Gunby's research and theoretical interests include race and racial identity development, critical race theory, multimethods research, and emotions in education.

Adrienne D. Dixon is Associate Professor in the School of Teaching and Learning, Associate Faculty member in the Department of African American and African Studies, Associate Faculty member in the Department of Women's Studies, Affiliated Faculty member in the John Glenn School of Public Affairs, and Affiliated Faculty member in the Kirwan Institute for Race and Ethnicity at The Ohio State University. Her research focuses on race and racial and gender identities in urban schooling contexts. Theoretically, she situates her scholarship within Critical Race Theory, Black Feminist theories, and Culturally Relevant Pedagogy. She co-edited (with Celia K. Rousseau) *Critical Race Theory in Education: All God's Children Got a Song* (Routledge, 2006), which received the 2006 Critics Choice Award from the American Educational Studies Association. Her most recent publications appear in *International Studies in Qualitative Research Journal* and *Teachers College Record*. Dr. Dixon teaches graduate courses on educational equity and diversity, culturally relevant pedagogy, critical race theory, Black feminist pedagogies,

and multicultural education. At the undergraduate level, she teaches courses on urban education.

Shelome Gooden is Associate Professor of Linguistics at the University of Pittsburgh. She has published articles and book chapters on a variety of topics including the phonological and phonetic properties of reduplication, stress and intonation in Jamaican Creole, past tense marking in Belizean Creole, and language and identity in the African American community. She is a recent co-guest editor of a special issue of *Studies in Language* entitled, *Language Change in Contact Languages: Grammatical and prosodic considerations* (2009). Her research focuses primarily on the prosodic classification and intonational phonology of Afro-American varieties and secondarily on language and identity and sociocultural aspects of language use.

Lisa Green is Associate Professor in the Department of Linguistics at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, and Director of the Center for the Study of African American Language. She received a Ph.D. in Linguistics from the University of Massachusetts, Amherst; MA in English from the University of Kentucky; and BS in English Education from Grambling State University. She is the author of *African American English: A Linguistic Introduction* (Cambridge University Press) as well as several book chapters and journal articles. Green's research on the development of language patterns in the speech of three-, four- and five-year olds, which was supported by the National Science Foundation, will be reported in her forthcoming book *Language and the African American Child* (Cambridge University Press). She works with the Antioch Baptist Church Reading Program in her native community in Louisiana during the summer months.

Lanita Jacobs-Huey is Associate Professor in the Departments of Anthropology and American Studies and Ethnicity at the University of Southern California. She is the author of *From the Kitchen to the Parlor: Language and Becoming in African American Women's Hair Care* (2006), as well as several articles and book chapters concerning African American English, native anthropology, race and popular culture, and race and disability. Her research interests include language socialization, language and identity, race and performance, and race and disability.

Peggy Jones is Assistant Professor, Graduate and Women's Studies faculty member, and past Interim Chairperson of the Black Studies Department at University of Nebraska, Omaha. She is a Senior Research

Fellow and the College of Arts and Science Representative for the Center for Collaboration Science. She is co-author of “Toward Achieving the *Beloved Community*: Lessons for Applied Business Research and Practice from the Teachings of Martin Luther King, Jr.,” (*Business & Society*, 2008). She is currently transitioning from purely studio-based creative activity to doing more “creative research.” Her interests include intersections between language and racial identity, White privilege, and media (mis)representations of African American Language. She received an Individual Artist Fellowship from the Nebraska Arts Council for her play, “The Journey,” which tells the story of Aaron Douglas, the first Black graduate from the Art Department at the University of Nebraska, Lincoln, in 1922.

Tyler Kendall is Post-doctoral Researcher in the Linguistics Department at Northwestern University. He is the architect and primary developer of the Sociolinguistic Archive and Analysis Project (SLAAP; <http://ncslaap.lib.ncsu.edu/>) as well as other software tools for sociolinguistic analysis. Much of his current research, including his dissertation, focuses on subtle aspects of language variation, such as pause durational differences between and among (groups of) speakers, through sociolinguistic, sociophonetics, and computational analyses. His work has been published in several journals, including the *Journal of English Linguistics* and the *Language and Linguistics Compass*. He received his B.A. in Classics and (summa cum laude) Archaeology at Cornell University in 1998 and Ph.D. in English Linguistics from Duke University in 2009.

Sonja L. Lanehart is Professor of English and Brackenridge Endowed Chair in Literature and the Humanities at the University of Texas, San Antonio. She is the author of *Sista, Speak! Black Women Kinfolk Talk about Language and Literacy* (2002) and editor of *Sociocultural and Historical Contexts of African American English* (2001), as well as several articles and book chapters on African American Language and education. She is the former editor of *Educational Researcher: Research News and Comment* as well as the former book review editor of *American Speech*. She is the editor for the forthcoming *Oxford Handbook on African American Language*. Her research interests include language use and literacy in African American communities, language and identity, and the educational implications and applications of sociolinguistic research.

Christine Mallinson is Assistant Professor in the Language, Literacy, and Culture Program and Affiliate Assistant Professor in the Gender and Women's Studies Program at the University of Maryland, Baltimore County, where she teaches interdisciplinary courses on sociolinguistics and research methods. Her current research investigates variation and change in African American Language among residents of Washington, DC, and Baltimore, Maryland. She is also co-authoring a book (with Anne Charity) on language variation and change for educators. She is Associate Editor of the annual pedagogical section for *American Speech* and is on the editorial board of the sociolinguistics division of *Language and Linguistics Compass*. Her work has been published in various journals, including *Language and Society*, *Discourse & Society*, *American Speech*, and *English World-Wide*, as well as in various collections, including *American Voices* (2006), *Sustaining Linguistic Diversity: Endangered and Minority Languages and Language Varieties* (2008), and *Language Variety in the South III* (forthcoming). She received a B.A. in Sociology and German from the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, in 2000, an M.A. in English/Sociolinguistics from North Carolina State University in 2002, and a Ph.D. in Sociology and Anthropology, with concentrations in sociolinguistics and social inequality, from North Carolina State University in 2006.

Terry Meier is Associate Professor and Chair of the Language and Literacy Department at Wheelock College in Boston, Massachusetts. She is the author of *Black Communications and Learning to Read: Building on Children's Linguistic and Cultural Strengths* (2008), as well as several articles and chapters on the topic of African American Language and literacy instruction. Her scholarly and research interests include early childhood and adolescent literacy; language variation and education; identity and achievement; and multicultural and African American children's literature.

Joycelyn Moody is Professor of English and the Sue E. Denman Distinguished Chair in American Literature at the University of Texas, San Antonio, where she teaches courses on early African American literature and culture. She has served as Editor of *African American Review* (2004-2008). Her publications include *Sentimental Confessions: Spiritual Narratives of Nineteenth-Century African American Women* (2000), *Teaching with The Norton Anthology of African American Literature* (2004), and numerous articles, book chapters, and reviews. She has lectured on literary representations of US Black women in slavery at

the University of Washington, Seattle; Hamilton College; Harvard School of Divinity; Southern Methodist University; and numerous other institutions in the US, Europe, and Africa.

Iyabo F. Osiapem is a Visiting Assistant Professor of English at The College of William and Mary where she teaches courses on sociolinguistics and Caribbean linguistics. Her research focuses on a range of issues in Bermudian English, specifically the identity construction and grammar of Black Bermudian English.

Calaya Reid is a PhD Candidate at Georgia State University, where she teaches composition and creative writing. Writing under the pseudonym Grace Octavia, she has written three African American contemporary fiction novels: *Something She Can Feel* (Kensington, 2009), *His First Wife* (Kensington, 2008), and *Take Her Man* (Kensington, 2007). Her writing has also appeared in *Sisterfriends* (Michele Agins and Julia Chance, editors) and *Rolling Out Magazine*, where she was guest editor. Her research interests include aspects of contemporary African American culture and fiction, creative writing, and culturally relevant pedagogy (composition).

Elaine Richardson is Professor of Literacy Studies in the College of Education and Human Ecology at The Ohio State University. She is the author of *African American Literacies* (2002), *Hiphop Literacies* (2006), and co-editor of *Understanding African American Rhetoric: Classical Origins to Contemporary Innovations* (w/Ronald L. Jackson, 2003), *African American Rhetoric(s): Interdisciplinary Perspectives* (w/Ronald L. Jackson, 2004), *Home Girls Make Some Noise!: Anthology of Hip Hop Feminism* (w/ Gwendolyn Pough et al., 2007), as well as several articles and chapters on African American Language and Literacy. Her research interests include discourse and society, Black women's discourse practices, applied linguistics, and African American Literacy education.

Tani D. Sanchez (PhD, U of Arizona) is a faculty member in African Studies at the University of Arizona. Her work focuses on black feminism, visual culture, cultural studies and art appreciation. She is currently vice president of the Afro-American Historical and Genealogical Society-Tucson Chapter and a past state president of the National Association of Colored Women's Clubs. She worked a number of years as an editor, news journalist and as a media relations specialist for public institutions. She is the author of "Neo-abolitionists, colorblind epistemologies and black politics: the Matrix trilogy."