

# Is Renewable Energy Affordable?



# Is Renewable Energy Affordable?

By

Derek George Birkett

Cambridge  
Scholars  
Publishing



Is Renewable Energy Affordable?

By Derek George Birkett

This book first published 2019

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2019 by Derek George Birkett

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-5275-2046-3

ISBN (13): 978-1-5275-2046-2

*Dedicated to the memory of Ron Quartermaine*



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Illustrations .....	xi
Author's Preface .....	xiii
Introduction .....	xv
Chapter One.....	1
The Nature of Electrical Supply	
Chapter Two .....	7
A Background Perspective of Electrical Power Supply	
Chapter Three .....	11
Thermal Power Generation	
Chapter Four.....	17
Energy Storage	
Chapter Five .....	23
Hydro Electric Generation	
Chapter Six .....	27
Generation from Wind and Microgeneration	
Chapter Seven.....	35
Grid Transmission and Operation	
Chapter Eight.....	45
Consequences of Privatisation	
Chapter Nine.....	49
International Comparisons	
Chapter Ten .....	53
Information Supply	

Chapter Eleven .....	59
Reliability of Statistics	
Chapter Twelve .....	63
Consequences of Renewable Policy	
Chapter Thirteen .....	71
Electric Powered Transport	
Chapter Fourteen .....	75
The German Dilemma	
Chapter Fifteen .....	79
The European Problem	
Chapter Sixteen .....	85
Investment Priorities	
Chapter Seventeen .....	91
Grid Instability	
Chapter Eighteen .....	97
Structural Issues	
Chapter Nineteen .....	103
Blueprint	
Chapter Twenty .....	111
Epilogue	
Appendix A .....	113
Timeline of Events	
Appendix B .....	115
Checklist for Political Action (items italicised)	
Appendix C .....	119
Notable Costing Commitments (items in bold)	
Appendix D .....	123
Detailed Costs on an Historical Basis	



Appendix E.....	125
Comparison of Installed Generating Capacity	
Appendix F.....	127
Outstanding Myths	
Glossary.....	131
Index.....	133



## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

- Figure 2-1 Annual UK consumption of renewable energy by technology  
Figure 2-2 UK energy flow chart 2010
- Figure 3-1 National Grid. GB existing power stations
- Figure 6-1 Wind speed v output for a typical wind-power turbine  
Figure 6-2 Nine GW of uncontrolled embedded generation  
Figure 6-3 Frequency deviation following exceptional generation loss
- Figure 7-1 National Grid. GB existing transmission system  
Figure 7-2 Bid offer acceptances  
Figure 7-3 Operating reserve for various wind load factors to 2025  
Figure 7-4 National Grid estimate of notional wind output from 2010 data  
Figure 7-5 Thermal generation requirement  
Figure 7-6 Operating reserve requirement for wind power  
Figure 7-7 Expected cumulative change to UK generation plant by 2020  
Figure 7-8 Wind generation and wind speed at Glasgow on 3<sup>rd</sup> Feb 2011



## AUTHOR'S PREFACE

Communicating a technical topic, is not straightforward. No treatise can adequately explain every statement without some level of assumed knowledge and the skill of the author rests upon striking that balance to inform, interest and communicate a message so that understanding may be given. In our modern world, energy is essential to our daily lives but central with all its use is the pivotal role of electrical power to secure the living standards we currently enjoy.

Understanding the characteristics of the various generation technologies and their accommodation is an essential foundation. Since privatisation of electrical supply, the industry has seen increasing political interference and failure to address structural weaknesses. The author has spent a lifetime working hands-on in electrical supply on disciplines within the varied generation technologies. A decade on coal-fired and hydro operations, a decade on coal and nuclear projects with installation and commissioning, culminating in grid system control over two decades. This latter period employed at the apex of the electrical supply pyramid, experienced shift operations under both nationalisation and privatisation. On having a University degree and chartered status, my view for the most critical competence in an operational environment, is not intelligence or academic qualification but experience.

In life we must all relate to our neighbours. Generating electricity is no different. Most of the varied technologies employed are mutually dependant, certainly for renewable resource, as singly they cannot be relied upon to give a secure, continuous and economic supply. This accommodation comes at a cost where consequences remain hidden and only fully understood by a small technical minority. The failure by politicians and their advisors to recognise these limitations is why our power supply situation has become so critical.

In my previous book "When will the Lights Go Out?" published by Stacey International, the attempt to communicate a message to a targeted audience was of necessity restricted and the need to communicate widely has since become more pressing. In a general sense the media is woefully

lacking in basic understanding of electricity supply. This deficiency should come as no surprise, as its practitioners in their education and upbringing are based upon the humanities. Even for those with a scientific or technical background such understanding is not assured, nevertheless bridging that divide becomes so much easier. Information is vital but so is understanding.

This book does not set out to provide detailed statistics or mathematical formulae, the usual framework for any engineering treatise, neither have extensive footnotes been included. Instead, strategic analysis and observation will be supported by charts and diagrams. If required, reference to my previous book provides more information and some historical perspective. A conscious attempt has been made to avoid duplication and to treat this narrative as a stand-alone publication for a wider readership.

Appreciation and thanks are due to many friends and colleagues who over the years have been unstinting with information and support. No publication could have been contemplated without their contributions and whose knowledge and experience have guided my thoughts. As power engineers we all share a profound concern with the direction of energy policy over this last decade. In preparing this book Dave Bruce has given much assistance with illustrations and computer support, stretching back over many years and Mike Travers for incisive guidance with preparation. Permission has been given by National Grid to publish a number of illustrations for which I am most grateful. A debt of gratitude is due to the late Ron Quartermaine whose influence and encouragement over this past decade has provided so much inspiration towards the many submissions, reports and presentations being produced, a necessary foundation for this project. In large measure this book is their achievement although responsibility for what has been written is mine alone.

Throughout this book, the increasing cost of renewable accommodation has been highlighted leading towards the political tensions now arising. However, real problems rest upon the policy of mitigation to reduce carbon emissions. Any practical approach should recognise adaptation as the basis for accommodation with climate change. The present policy undermines wealth creation, the necessary means to provide those financial resources to contain the consequences of climate change. The role of climate science is essentially one of uncertain prediction, largely based upon computer modelling.

# INTRODUCTION

**National reliance upon energy. Nature of electrical supply and its vulnerability. Removal of market disciplines prompted by AGW. Evolution of wind resource. Consequences of “fracking” gas. Forthcoming chapters. Basic definition of technical terms**

## **National reliance upon energy**

To the popular mind energy is like the air we breathe. We take it so much for granted it becomes ingrained into our lifestyles. Our living standards are directly affected by the cost of energy, refined by technology to give ever increasing benefit to mankind. When we see a change to its cost we are reminded of the link with our prosperity but it is only when availability is threatened do we realise just how vulnerable our lives would become without this brittle commodity. As a nation our dependence on energy decides the opportunities for wealth creation, trade and the treaties we make, so it is inevitable for the state to become involved with its provision.

Political developments have handed power to super-national institutions in the form of regulation. This has been exercised to implement EU policy through the nation state and has led to a situation where the consumer and not the public purse pays for its execution. Unlike taxation where procedures are subject to public scrutiny through the Public Accounts Committee of the House of Commons, this expenditure is not open to challenge and even though administered by a public body, is immune from detailed scrutiny.

Currently most domestic households have three strands of energy security. A reliable means of transportation, home heating and cooking by the use of gas and oil products with the option of electrical heating as a backup within the home. The use of home appliances and communication are reliant upon electrical supply as is control with many forms of central heating. Any extended loss of supply can become life threatening and in large cities the risk of social disorder. To mitigate these effects there is the option of personal mobility with family and friends and for many

households, gas supply is available for heating and cooking. What has become evident is the intent over the longer term to restrict the use of gas and confine mobility to electric cars thereby making all households entirely reliant upon a supply of electricity. Adding to this circumstance is the developing technology of “smart metering” that would then give the state an ultimate means of control over its citizens.

### **Nature of electrical supply and its vulnerability**

Electrical power provides a versatile and reliable means for all manner of uses in the home, factory, shops and offices. The ease of transmission allows production to be concentrated at few locations, enabling economies of scale to be realised and the economic delivery of fuel supplies. Its convenience and cleanliness has provided the greatest advance in health and longevity since the Victorian age. So versatile are its uses, other forms of heating rely on its use for control. Ultimately communications depend upon its use. The widespread benefit of computer technology across all fields of economic activity, to include retail and banking, would rapidly cease to function without power supplies. Electrical power is literally the lifeblood of the nation.

Electricity is the most important service industry that everybody relies upon at the flick of a switch. Its greatest vulnerability lies with its inability to be stored on any scale as can be done with water, oil and coal and is entirely governed by demand. It is important to relate electrical supply with the various fuels that power conventional generation. Unlike electricity, oil, gas and in particular coal can be stored in considerable quantities. Oil for transportation is ideally suited as its various component parts of petrol, diesel, and aviation fuel allow delivery by road tankers, coastal shipping and pipeline. Oil extraction is closely related to gas with methane, butane, propane and ethane which as natural gas liquids can be easily transported for specialised industrial purposes. Around four-fifths of gas is methane transported by pipeline to heat over two-thirds of our domestic needs. The exploitation of renewable resource is largely by electrical means that cannot be stored on any scale.

The scale of subsidy introduced to promote renewable development has not only distorted the market for essential backup capacity but has also restricted the running of these fossil-fired generation services to the point where power utilities lack the confidence to invest with such uncertainty. Lower world prices for coal prompted by the US “fracking” revolution,



had at one stage seen gas turbine capacity mothballed. More recently, capacity margins to meet maximum winter demands have almost disappeared. This scenario coincides with increasing concern for the reliability of ageing infrastructure. The investment needed for the UK to meet the challenge of power supply is daunting, not experienced since the sixties and seventies of the last century.

The versatile nature of electrical power disguises its vulnerabilities. Most consumption is derived from heating, lighting and driving electric motors that provide the bulk of revenue for utilities. With rising renewable production, receipts from fossil-fired sources have declined as renewables always have priority to generate. **The increasing cost from carbon taxes levied on fossil-fired generation together with subsidised renewable promotion will compromise future thermal investment.** As profitable coal power stations are rapidly ageing, new dispatchable generation capacity is desperately needed. Given the convenience of use, peak annual demands can be expected to remain but thermal generation production will fall with higher charges and where competition from other sources will intensify. This effect is already happening in Germany where renewable development is advanced. Spiralling subsidies, higher tariffs and weakened utilities are having political repercussions.

Electrical Supply in the UK faces a perfect storm. The obsession with renewable energy for well over a decade has obscured a looming crisis where events have come together to present a very real risk of power disruption. Since privatisation, the UK investment in power generation has been limited to gas and wind turbines, both being short term technologies. The previous portfolios, constructed under nationalisation of longer term nuclear and coal-fired technologies are reaching the end of their working lives and in 2015 provided half of supply capacity. Generation is already being taken out of service from the initial post-privatisation investment together with the premature withdrawal of coal-fired capacity under EU environmental regulation. The increasing intermittence from renewable sources stresses ageing fossil-fired generation capacity, being the only sufficiently responsive means for National Grid to balance demand with supply. The failure to meet this essential requirement leads to grid instability and power disruption. Generation connected to the distribution system is uncontrolled and destabilising for the grid system. What should be clear is that National Grid does not have responsibility for generation supply, only for its accommodation.

## **Removal of market disciplines prompted by AGW**

The skill and far-sightedness of engineers from previous generations has created a basic confidence in our power supplies. This confidence has led to an attitude of mind, certainly amongst politicians, where technology can expect to give an assurance of viability across many areas of innovation. **The perceived threat of anthropogenic global warming (AGW) has removed market discipline to be replaced by arbitrary targets thereby fostering a range of untried and uneconomic technologies.** The scale of subsidies introduced to promote these technologies open the door for commercial exploitation and rent-seeking.

What is meant by anthropogenic global warming? It is with the activities of mankind in burning fossil fuels that raises the proportion of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere to stimulate rising world temperatures, leading to climate change and melting glaciers thereby raising sea levels. Public and political concern over this issue has prompted significant funding into climate science, a relatively new discipline, heavily embroiled into computer modelling. As the very nature of the problem was global, international conferences held at Kyoto, Japan initiated targets to reduce global emissions. A body known as the IPCC would issue reports and monitor progress. Subsequent conferences held at Copenhagen, Mexico, South Africa and latterly Paris have all failed to reach binding agreement. The EU acting independently, obliged its members to reduce carbon emissions by 20% overall, based upon 1990 levels within which the UK negotiated a 15% target.

The scientific basis for justifying carbon reduction targets has increasingly been questioned amongst the academic community. Already the reality of global warming has not been squared with forecast, heavily reliant on computer prediction that in itself has been discredited with financial instruments during the 2008 financial crisis. The obsession with levels of carbon dioxide pale in comparison to water vapour, represented by cloud cover as a mechanism for retaining heat on the planet. Furthermore, the contribution of man-made carbon emissions represents a twentieth of that produced by natural processes, notwithstanding the significant level of plant growth stimulated by such minute increases.

There has been a curious co-operation between three prominent national public institutions advancing the cause of AGW. The Meteorological Office, the BBC and the University of East Anglia Climate

Research Unit have over time become embarrassed with that involvement. Common funding directs suspicion towards the state promoting an international purpose and where mistrust over international politics with AGW suggests the issue is being used as a vehicle rather than a cause.

## **Evolution of wind resource**

The innovations mentioned above came from political direction at an international level, seeking to limit carbon emissions under the Kyoto international treaty, latterly replaced by the Paris accord. Whilst the targets chosen were EU inspired, implementation was based upon the nation state, placing emphasis on renewable forms of energy. This has led to a disproportionate burden being placed upon electrical supply in the UK where wind resource became the dominant technology. Whilst technically impressive, this technology is uncontrollable and intermittent, leading to severe problems absorbing such power into the electrical power network.

## **Consequences of “fracking” gas**

Another factor encouraging the promotion of renewable energy has been its role as an indigenous resource, promoting energy security in an uncertain world where oil and gas resources had been considered finite. The developing technology of drilling into rock strata in specific directions and shale bed hydraulic fracturing (fracking) has transformed the international situation with the US becoming the first nation to exploit this technology. The scale of this transition has significantly reduced gas prices and by 2017 had attained national self-sufficiency in energy. This has led to the US having lower carbon emissions through reduced coal burn from power stations where coal had always been the dominant fuel for power supplies. The displacement of US coal onto world markets, thereby lowering its price, had promoted a significant increase of coal burn across Europe, in contrast with measures within the EU to limit carbon emissions.

The position of the environmental lobby has been perplexing. By resisting the development of promising “fracking” technology, an underlying purpose has been to deflect the threat to any programme of renewable investment. As the nominal objective of EU energy directives is to limit carbon emissions, the suspicion remains that vested interests connected to renewable exploitation (with generous levels of subsidy) would be disadvantaged by this new “fracking” technology. As for wind

resource, this technology has to be seen in perspective, not just as an inadequate and intermittent energy resource but also with regards to its costly accommodation on the electricity network. With no technical body having overriding responsibility for electricity supply, utilities can only react to their best financial interest. Imposed subsidy only encourages inappropriate investment. With most renewable technologies, intermittence is endemic where measures to mitigate its limitations allow the opportunity for lucrative recompense amongst power utilities.

Electrical supply provides a revealing example of how wealth creation has been subverted. When energy costs are the bedrock of living standards there can be no surprise these have fallen over the past decade for the greater majority of its citizens. Any serious attempt to meet such arbitrary targets would have to include a reduction of air transport movements that at over a hundred thousand a day worldwide contaminate the fragile troposphere. Whilst improved efficiency has been achieved with new aircraft, the fuel is not taxed internationally nor are any targets imposed. The absence of restrictions with the transport of unseasonal foods and international tourism is seen to be politically necessary. This position is reflected with electric car transport where generous subsidies are made available. As for electrical supply, restrictions on coal use and a disproportionate share of burden within mandated targets, induce rising costs. (One wonders how the CEGB would have responded to these conditions had they still been in existence. Parliament decreed the lowest cost of electricity to be provided for consumers. Private electricity supply companies have no such remit). Given this perspective political direction cannot be questioned, allowing the enormous cost of supporting subsidy to continue its destructive process.

## **Forthcoming chapters**

In the following chapters the nature of electricity supply is explained together with some background perspective over international targets, where their expected contribution is out of all proportion to its share of energy end use in the national economy. To gain some understanding of the problems arising from implementing both targets and regulation on electricity supply, initial chapters explain the characteristics of various technologies in producing power and crucially their accommodation upon a dynamic grid system. The chapters then progress to explain how the profound consequences arising from privatisation have allowed free reign subsidy to develop without any long term institutional perspective that is

the basis of electrical supply. Engineers became subservient to accountants, politicians and civil servants. Political direction since the millennium has seen energy ministers passing through a revolving door on an annual basis with seven ministers over this decade. Their departments were under constant reorganisation with various titles over this period, being pressured from Brussels over energy assimilation. At one stage energy and climate change, both situations being incompatible, were linked in the same department.

Some caution with international comparisons are explored followed by scrutiny of information sources and reliability of statistics, all to provide some background for outlining the consequences of renewable policy where their exorbitant cost is shunted onto the captive long-suffering consumer, a cynical imposition avoiding parliamentary scrutiny. Any explanation of falling living standards all too often fail to mention rising energy costs as a fundamental multiplier, inflicting its burden disproportionately on the disadvantaged.

So much for the past, need is to the future. Electric transport can expect to have widespread implications across society. Some lessons can be learnt from German “*energiewende*” where very real political consequences have arisen. Europe has been responsible for excessive regulation and their consequences for the UK is outlined. Investment priorities mould a national blueprint whose structural means remain elusive, turning away from an historic perspective of coal technology. Grid instability is set to become a serious problem, created by government policy that cannot be understood, let alone addressed by them. Structural issues lie at the heart of reform to curb the present direction and finally some suggestions are made to point the way forward.

## **Basic definition of technical terms**

A conscious attempt has been made to avoid technical phrases throughout this book. Some basic grounding of terms however is essential. One of the most common pitfalls is the confusion between capacity and energy. The term “*capacity*” (or size to the layman) is defined as the ability to do work. The term “*energy*” (or output) is the length of time that capacity is exercised. A bar on an electric fire is usually rated at a capacity of one kilowatt (kW) that if run for one hour would consume energy of one kilowatt-hour (kWh) or a unit of electricity. The kilo can be

substituted for Mega, Giga and Tera in ascending multiples of one thousand.

- A generator of 1kW installed capacity if run for one hour would produce 1kWh of energy (a unit)
- A typical 2kW generator as used with caravans run for an hour gives 2 units
- A generator of 1kW when run for a year would produce 8760kWh (units) or 8.76MWh
- A generator of 1MW (megawatt) run for a year would produce 8.76GWh or 8.76 million units
- One thousand generators of 1MW or 1GW (gigawatt) run for one year would produce 8.76TWh (terawatt-hours)

To give some perspective:

- A typical large onshore wind turbine would be rated at 1.5 to 3MW.
- A generator within a large coal-fired power station is often rated at 500MW. With four generators this would give a power station capacity of 2GW.
- Peak system demand for the GB grid system has been in the region of 60GW with an annual energy consumption of some 360TWh.
- National Grid operates at voltages of 400kV (kilovolts) and 275kV (or 275,000 volts). Scotland has dispersed lower rated hydro schemes extending the transmission grid to 132kV.
- Distribution is exercised at 132kV, 33kV and 11kV with domestic consumers connected at 230 volts.
- A typical electric kettle would be in the region of 2kW capacity.
- A Mercedes electric car on a single nine-hour charge can travel 124 miles on a 28kWh lithium-ion battery.

What can be confusing is describing the cost of electricity in different terms as is often used in the media. £20 per MWh is exactly the same as 2p per kWh (or 2p per unit). The former is normal for commercial use whilst the latter has more domestic application. Appendix D provides more detailed costings on an historical basis.

# CHAPTER ONE

## THE NATURE OF ELECTRICAL SUPPLY

**State intervention with EU carbon targets. Characteristics of electrical supply. Generation mix and embedded generation. Grid instability. Continental comparison. Security of supply. Financial pressures.**

The public perception of electricity is that of a product always available at the flick of a switch. Sources of energy are prolific and why cannot natural forces be harnessed to provide all our needs for energy when its fuel is free and availability inexhaustible? A simple question that even our politicians would endorse. With such limited understanding, legislation was enacted for the Climate Change Act of 2008 requiring 80% of our energy needs to come from renewable sources by 2050. By 2017 reductions of about 35% had been recorded. A non-binding target by a parliamentary committee has recommended reductions of 57% by 2030. Many people are now aware that all is not well with that ideal and issues are just not that simple. For those who have knowledge of energy supply by qualification and working experience, this is only the beginning of a prolonged ordeal that will seriously reduce our standard of living in years to come.

### **State intervention with EU carbon targets**

Historically the aim of government energy policy was to achieve security of supply at the lowest possible cost. With concerns over this last decade from man-made global warming, these objectives have changed to that of being competitive, secure and of low carbon supply. In the market place this last requirement is incompatible with normal competition unless proper and effective legislation is enacted. The imposition by the UK government to achieve carbon reduction targets set by the EU (nominally at 20 percent but negotiated to 15 percent for the UK, based upon emission levels from 1990) has fallen disproportionately on electrical supply whose durable technologies take decades to develop. **The limited timescale of 2020 has given rise to “quick fix” solutions, with an utterly**

**inappropriate and costly technology of wind power being promoted.** Not only is excessive subsidy required to ensure rapid take up, intermittence becomes a source of instability. It is hard to imagine a worse means of producing economic electrical power, even with the employment of such impressive design technology. A basic understanding of physics reveals its limitations.

Most other renewable technologies have intermittence as a basic characteristic of their operation. **Being small scale and widely dispersed, many technical complications arise and therefore increased costs.** This is a reversal of an historic trend towards large generating units located close to centres of demand, bringing lower unit costs and cheaper electricity. The recent contribution of installed renewable power is mostly short-lived, uncontrollable, uneconomic and destabilising. However, by good fortune tidal resource has impressive potential that whilst intermittent is predictable and reliable. Conventional hydro power with storage has been a most successful technology but with limited scale and potential.

## Characteristics of Electricity Supply

An important characteristic of electrical supply is its inability to be stored to any appreciable extent. If the storage of generated power could realistically be provided on an appropriate scale and at reasonable cost, the accommodation of generation from renewable sources could become manageable. Such promise has eluded the supply industry for generations. Within a supply system, production has to balance demand instantaneously. To a very limited extent variation of system frequency can be tolerated but outside defined statutory limits, automatic disconnection sheds consumer load on a cascading basis to correct any frequency imbalance. (1) Pre-selected consumers become disconnected in order to save the whole grid system from instability. When generation is in deficit, frequency falls below a standard target of 50 cycles per second. With demand load falling, frequency then rises. This is why electric clocks can be a few seconds adrift from GMT. (*refer to fig 6-3*)

## Generation mix and embedded generation

On any integrated high voltage AC Grid system, the means to mitigate such an inherent vulnerability is to enlarge the grid system or diversify generation sources. The latter is known as the *generation mix* where the



varied characteristics of each technology become mutually supporting. These alternatives are necessary to secure operational flexibility, diversify fuel sources and prevent over-reliance upon any single generation technology. There is a significant economic dimension to the mix and inevitably major political considerations at the strategic level.

The *electricity network* has two quite distinct divisions between distribution on the one hand and transmission on the other. The characteristics of each are profoundly different. As the name suggests, the former distributes power to consumers in what until recently has been an essentially static system, whereas transmission connects major generation plant to points of bulk supply for distribution. Ideally this power should be produced where demand is needed but the economy of scale and security of supply have established transmission in bulk as standard practice. The transmission grid is a dynamic entity, inherently unstable. To maintain grid stability, not only must generation and consumer demand be kept in constant balance, frequent adjustments are needed to maintain voltage levels across the grid system to sustain power flows. Both these functions are essential for system stability but are compromised by intermittent power and the increasing scale of small generation (or microgeneration) being introduced on the distribution network. This is known as *embedded generation*.

## Grid Instability

The national grid system has always been susceptible to disruption from a variety of causes that can endanger grid stability. The loss of major generation capacity, the tripping of transmission circuits and the loss of communication channels are the principal concerns, countered by having sufficient standby reserve to cope with potential losses. Adverse weather conditions increase this risk, as does intermittence where the overall level of risk rises to an intangible assessment, given the scale of innovation being introduced. It is the combination of unexpected events rather than any single event where the assessment of risk is most critical. Certain measures can mitigate the raw imposition of these destabilising forces at additional cost and technical complexity. **Whilst awareness of blackouts is a problem, the real concern is the cost of mitigating measures to ensure disconnection does not happen.**

## **Continental comparison**

Comparisons between national grid systems are sometimes made but caution is needed. Every national grid system has different features where characteristics of size, climate, interconnection and generation portfolio, all combine to influence any comparison. The UK, or more accurately Greater Britain (GB), is a high voltage alternating current (HVAC) entity with limited high voltage direct current (HVDC) subsea cable interconnection to adjacent nations (for technical reasons HVAC connection is not feasible). The GB generation portfolio is mainly thermal with time constraints that affect load changing response. On the continent many EU nations are interconnected by high voltage transmission lines, creating a single HVAC entity about six to seven times the size of the GB grid system. There is also a significant hydro generation component with fast acting response. These conditions make the continental grid system much more robust when dealing with intermittence although there is an increasing problem with destabilising power flows crossing national borders.

## **Security of supply**

Security of supply has many facets. Its scope ranges from securing fuel supplies to adequate controllable generation plant and secure grid transmission. Security can expand to safeguarding communication links and the many essential services and specialised products needed to maintain power supplies. Its meaning has taken on a new dimension with the growing scale of intermittence from renewable generation, in particular with wind resource, spread across both transmission and distribution networks. From a grid perspective, embedded generation is not monitored in real time, least of all controlled.

The appeal of “small is beautiful” has resonated in the public mind to accept microgeneration as a practical way of producing power. When self-contained, expense and security are a deterrent but when interconnected this perspective changes. The problems of maintaining power supplies on remote Scottish islands are severe, in spite of abundant renewable resources being to hand. It can be done and is done but given the practical realities, even with “free fuel”, cannot be sustained indefinitely. A typical island supply, not connected to the distribution system and having all equipment provided free, has costs of supply one and a half times the normal electricity price. The problems of access, parts replacement, skilled

attendance and reliability all combine to ensure a level of cost becoming far too high for the limited number of consumers served. Inevitably generous subsidies are made available. With feed-in-tariffs introduced in 2010 encouraging consumers to install renewable sources (even at a domestic level), subsidy can only be realised through back-feeding into the mains supply. **The question remains, where do these subsidies come from and the answer is, the rest of us through our electricity bills.** (refer to Appendix D)

## Financial Pressures

This issue comes to the heart of the problem with energy. It is the cost to the consumer that matters. Competition is the means by which prices are kept in check for any commodity but in the case of electrical supply, the scale and scope of regulation has created a distorted commercial framework for utilities. Given an uncertain outlook prompted by dubious past energy policies, **economic interest suggests more is to be gained by co-operation than with competition** by the electrical supply companies. Electricity is an immutable product with heavy capital investment requiring highly skilled personnel to secure its supply. This circumstance is not conducive to vigorous competition where conditions of excessive subsidy for renewable generation seriously distort the market. In addition, **future certainty for policy direction is not assured and past decisions over energy trading have undermined investor confidence.**

The transition from nationalisation to privatisation introduced a number of changes with financial operating practices where contract-based bids became the basis of what generation plant would be run. The previous practice had used a merit order of generation cost to determine choice which was controlled by engineers but the new system demanded a separate section of trading staff to monitor contracted arrangements submitted by competing generation suppliers. In the case of renewable generation, suppliers were given preferential treatment with their output always being accepted and whenever conditions arose to deny their output, generous compensation known as *constraint payments* would be made. Transmission charges reflect proximity to demand in order to encourage the siting of new investment where needed. These charges became eased to promote renewable development across remote regions in order to comply with EU renewable targets. Such pressure also relaxed connection conditions with enabling transmission where a “connect and manage”

strategy was on occasion adopted. Inevitably such concessions would incur additional costs, ultimately borne by the electricity consumer.

A fundamental problem with privatisation has seen the symbiotic function of generation and transmission separated. Costs of generation can be quoted at a site level without regard for the cumulative costs of transmission connection, upgraded reinforcement and new construction. These charges by their dispersed nature, fall heavily on renewable sources across the entire supply network.

Countries at an advanced stage of renewable development such as Australia and Germany have experienced reduced and gyrating output from dispatchable sources of fossil-fired generation. Such inefficient running has raised consumer tariffs, already inflated by policies to subsidise renewable generation. Increasingly this burden becomes self-feeding with utilities financially weakened. Renewable generation in the UK, whilst not as advanced as these countries, has seen utilities offset this problem by owning sizeable portfolios of subsidised wind generation.

*(1) National Grid has always maintained an operating range for frequency between 49.8 and 50.2 cycles per second (Hz). The statutory range is between 49.5 and 50.5 Hz.*

## CHAPTER TWO

# A BACKGROUND PERSPECTIVE OF ELECTRICAL POWER SUPPLY

**EU targets for carbon reduction. Perspective of national energy use.  
Future trends of supply and costs. Contribution of wind resource.**

### **EU targets for carbon reduction**

UK government policy, initiated by an EU directive in 2009 has placed the burden of carbon reductions by 2020 onto electrical supply (1). The measures to drive this policy are so far reaching they could not have been implemented without sanctions being imposed from Brussels over any failure to deliver targets. These penalties are severe although unknown. The knowledge that other sectors are not affected to anything like the same extent as electricity supply, raises the suspicion of choices being made for political acceptability rather than from any economic or technical consideration.

A study of progress towards meeting these EU targets for carbon reduction published by the IET in early 2012 indicates that UK electricity supply would be expected to meet 54% of the overall 2020 target. The remaining sectors being heat sources at 26% and transport 20%. (**fig 2-1**) By the end of 2010 carbon savings had reached 23% of the 2020 target with electrical supply only achieving 20%. It is important to emphasise these targets are for energy and not capacity.

The combined contribution of onshore (37%) and offshore (63%) wind for 2020 would represent 57% of the total electrical supply target. Most of the remainder is expected to come from co-firing. By 2010 only 14% of the wind target for 2020 was operational. The hidden term of co-firing represents the burning of wood in our power stations, a processed material having around a ninth of the heat content of coal and whose cost of transport coming from North America would stretch the intelligence of

any dispassionate observer for any real merit over carbon saving. On two occasions the attendant fire risk destroyed generation units, removing a GW of capacity from the GB grid system. Unsurprisingly all other wood products have seen significant price rises, a similar effect also experienced with corn supplies for ethanol production, used as an additive for transport fuels.

## Perspective of national energy use

When viewing the contribution of electricity supply within the total national energy demands for 2010 a completely different perspective emerges (**fig 2-2**): (This is a simplified portrayal of a chart issued annually by DECC, now DBEIS).

- Electrical power production represents 18% of all energy end use yet is expected to meet 54% of the 2020 carbon reduction targets.
- End use of electricity to consumers represents 12% of the national primary energy supply.
- Only 40% of fuel input is converted into electrical power production.
- Power station auxiliaries and transmission/distribution line losses amount to 16% of what electricity consumers finally use.
- A third of total gas supply can be used for electricity production.
- Electricity demand is much the same for both industry and commerce with domestic use 17% higher for each of these categories.
- Only 21% of total domestic energy use comes from electricity with gas providing 70%.

A study of these proportions for 2015 indicates minimal change. Gas supply for electricity production had dropped to 22% from 34%. Domestic use for electricity had dropped to become equal with both the industry and commerce sectors. The proportion of gas for domestic use had dropped to 65% from 70%. These changes reflect the harsh winter conditions of 2010 from the mild winter of 2015. Significantly, line losses had increased by 2%.

The most obvious feature to emerge from these facts is the considerable loss of fuel heat content dissipated when converting the various fuels to electricity production. There are also power station auxiliaries to supply that can take up to 5% of the output from a coal-fired