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THEATRE AND LEARNING:
UNWILLINGLY SEARCHING FOR TENSIONS

AN ALMOST UNNECESSARY INTRODUCTION
BY ART BABAYANTS
AND HEATHER FITZSIMMONS FREY

Art: When in June 2013 I was visiting my sister’s family, residing in Moscow, Russia, I couldn’t help but seize the opportunity to see as much theatre as possible. June is the time when the famous Moscow International Chekhov festival is in full bloom and thousands of theatre-goers fill local theatre venues. My sister has two kids, who were 9 and 7 at that point, and I, a theatre scholar and theatre lover, felt it was my utmost responsibility to take them to the theatre. Moscow, one of the theatre centres of the world, has more than 160 professional repertory theatre companies, and most have their own theatre buildings—sometimes two or even three. The number of amateur or semi-professional companies is next to impossible to calculate. The range of theatres that target young audiences is no less impressive: anything from “academic” (which means professional or “of high calibre” in the local theatre lingo) puppet theatres, musical theatres to drama theatres is on the offer. Luckily, late June is the time when theatres tend to conclude their seasons for the summer break, which made my choice far easier. On the very last day of its season, the Gorky Moscow Art Theatre was showing its almost ageless creation: Maeterlinck’s Blue Bird, one of the original directors of which was none other but Konstantin Stanislavsky himself. What an incredible opportunity it was to see a production originally conceived in the times of early Modernism!

This spectacular, even opulent, two-hour long production of a highly complex Symbolist play turned out to be interesting and engaging, despite the visibly worn-out set and costumes. How does an adult measure engagement? Perhaps, by the amount of labour s/he should put in in order to keep the minors busy. My nephew, who, as I suspect, suffers from a mild form of ADD, was able to keep himself in his seat for two straight hours without causing any major destruction to either the theatre building
or the theatrical process unfolding on stage. He empathized with the characters, he lived through the plot—my cunningly devised comprehension questions that I posed post-show—confirmed his full understanding of the story. My niece wasn’t able to take her eyes off the stage either. There was no direct audience interaction, no cheap tricks of forcing the audience to “decide the fate of a character” and no simplistic moralizing at the end of the production. In fact, the Stanislavskian fourth wall couldn’t have felt any stronger. The acting was on a par with regular professional Moscow productions oriented toward adults. It was almost impossible to conceive how a show that had hardly changed in a hundred years, when performed in an entirely different sociocultural context, could enthrall, engage and entertain adults and children alike. More importantly, it had sufficient teaching-learning potential. Being a theatre scholar, who is supposed to be proverbially bored by most live performance, I also managed to enjoy the production and learn something from it. For instance, I learned through direct experience what Stanislavsky and Sulerzhitsky’s first attempts to stage symbolism could have looked like. A unique, nuanced and highly enjoyable lesson in theatre history that one would not be able to receive from any books, courses or conferences!

A few months later, back home in Toronto, I was invited to see another production that was billed as theatre for young audiences (TYA). For the purposes of brevity and ethics, I will omit the name of the company and the title of the show. Suffice it to say, I left the theatre utterly unimpressed. Not only did I learn nothing, I felt incredibly betrayed and annoyed, as I observed the swarms of school kids flocking out of the theatre and discussing things completely unrelated to the stage production they had just witnessed. A lot of them were yawning, too. If there was any learning that happened in that theatre it was that “theatre is boring.” This completely unscientifical and possibly superficial observation of mine corresponds well with the question that many of my non-theatre friends pose to me over and over again: “What is it that you find in the theatre that we don’t?” Sadly, my friends’ childhood and sometimes adult memories of TYA (and not only TYA) are often marred by stupefyingly dull shows which commonly use a condescending tone. After those traumatic theatre experiences, my friends have a right to ask why they should go to the theatre ever again.

It is anguished questions like these that urged us to propose a conference focusing not on the obvious positive connections between theatrical activity (of any kind) and the process of learning, but more on the problems, tensions, ruptures, failures and difficulties that learning through theatre, learning about theatre and learning for theatre may
involve. Instead of hearing how successful this or that show or this or that applied theatre project was, we were more interested in how the actual learning was happening and what stumbling points were encountered by those involved. We wanted to question what “success” meant, especially in the fields of theatre and drama education, applied theatre and TYA.

The conference, officially called Festival of Original Theatre (F.O.O.T.) and thematically centred on the idea of Theatre and Learning, took place in February 2012 at the Centre for Drama, Theatre and Performance Studies at the University of Toronto (Ontario, Canada) and attracted about two hundred participants from seven different countries, but mostly theatre educators, scholars and practitioners living and working in Canada. It also included two academic keynote speakers (Kathleen Gallagher and Helen Nicholson) and one artistic keynote speaker (Suzanne Osten), all of whom were kind enough to contribute to this volume, too. The participants enjoyed four days of workshops, conference papers, performances, round table talks, communal lunches and informal conversations. In a way, Heather and I were trying to create a Vygotskian collective zone of proximal development—a space of potentiality where everyone could advance their knowledge or skill level to heights unreachable without the help of peers. They also experienced moments of subversion and instances of uneasiness and tension, some of which, just as we hoped, became potential precursors to learning.

As the conference progressed, more and more tensions emerged: an artist refused to be constrained by the academic genre of paper presentation and turned her presentation into a film demonstration followed by an uneasy and lengthy discussion with the audience. An applied linguist attending the conference confided in me that she had been deeply disappointed by what is generally called research in applied theatre and how it was presented at the conference. Two education professors begrudgingly protested against an attempt by two other professors to restrain a highly diverse web of applied theatre practices to a seemingly neat and highly coherent classification.

As it turned out, we also had some learning to do. It is the F.O.O.T. participants that taught us to be more disruptive and more critical of our own choices. We originally conceived our conference comprised of neat packages that followed many commonly acceptable research themes: TYA, applied theatre practices, learning from performance, learning to perform... And then we discovered that we, the mildly bored theatre scholars, could be as engaged by “babydrama”, an artistic practice that our artistic keynote, the Swedish director Suzanne Osten introduced. The very concept of TYA—a theatre that should be age-specific—crumbled before
our own eyes as we observed both six month old babies and their parents transfixed by a screening of a theatrical performance unfolding at Osten’s Unga Klara theatre in Stockholm, Sweden.

Later on, the imaginary wall separating applied theatre from “real” theatre came down, too, shattering our hope to find any cohesion in the complex relationship between theatre and learning. As theatre professionals reflected upon their experimental work and the learning that they had to do along with their audiences, it became clear to them and to us that they were also doing “applied” work. We were certainly not the first to share that sentiment. Kathleen Gallagher in her conversation with Canadian theatre artist Ann-Marie MacDonald mentions: “I have never been particularly convinced by theatre education as a genre, divorced from the larger tradition of theatre in general” (247). Why, then, do we need to separate those artificially created but widely acceptable constructs if we can find deeper and more interesting connections that can describe our contributors’ work?

Luckily for the reader, this volume does not follow the conventionality of our original thinking. First of all, it isn’t even the ubiquitous conference proceedings but rather reflections on the conference and reflections beyond the conference. Some contributors to this book (such as James McKinnon, Ralph Upton, Antje Budde and Michelle Raquel) did not present their papers at F.O.O.T. 2012. However, we felt that their work would add to the variety and complexity of the discussion or, rather, discussions, presented here. Similarly, the two interviews with theatre artists that we added to the volume were not technically part of the original conference, yet, they were a necessary addition in that they offered the artists’ perspective on their own learning as well the learning of that their collaborators and audiences had to do. We also tried to challenge the North American and/or Anglophone bias, which is commonly present at North American conferences—and, in all honesty, we have to admit we could have done a better job on that front: an obvious near-failure that we are not afraid to recognize. I would argue that we probably showed better results at achieving some sort of diversity in terms of academic genres presented in this volume: the reader will notice that some contributions tend to lean more to position papers (Helen Nicholson), some to provocation papers (Antje Budde), some to reflection papers (Kathleen Gallagher) and some are more in line with more conventional research reports (Michelle Raquel). This non-uniformity is itself an act of reflection on how should one write about intersections of theatre and learning.

Last but not least, we managed to avoid the suspicious predictability of the research strands that our conference was designed upon, such as
‘applied theatre’, ‘practice-based research’ and TYA. Instead, this volume looks into the instances of learning for/through/within theatre through three different themes: reflection, risk and reimagining. It does that without assuming any simplistic connections between learning and theatre, because, as we ourselves learned, learning does not necessarily happen in a theatre and theatre is necessarily conducive to learning.

Heather: We offer “Reflecting” as a lens to think about the first papers in this collection because the definitions evoke the conflicted tensions in thinking about theatre learning. Thinking in a profound way about experiences and ideas is significant, but it is also relevant to note that reflecting can also mean “to embody or represent (something) in a faithful or appropriate way” and “to throw back (heat, light or sound) without absorbing” (Oxford). The notion that “reflecting” can mean something akin to “absorbing” but also something like “bouncing back” is an evocative way to address the work the scholars have done in this chapter. Sometimes their work allows readers to see a reflection of theatre practice and learning like a clear image in a mirror, held up for all to see. In other cases the pondering is personal, infused with the scholar’s own ideas and reflections about theatre and learning.

Risking is often framed in a negative sense: “the possibility of endangering,” “acting in such a way as to bring about an unpleasant or unwelcome event,” “incurring the chance of unfortunate consequences by engaging in action” (Oxford). Risks that are real may not even have widely agreed upon benefits (jumping out of an airplane without a parachute springs to mind). Yet, researchers, educators and theatre practitioners all encourage risk-taking. Wrestling with the positive potential of risk-taking in terms of theatre and learning, these scholars and artists even consider some negative consequences of risk-taking and try to evaluate those consequences within broader goals related to learning through theatre.

We offer “Re-Imagining” as our final chapter heading. If “imagine” means to form a mental image or a concept of something, then, critically speaking, “re-imagine” must mean to re-interpret that image, to find new ways of understanding what we think we already know. In order to “Re-Imagine” scholars and artists may have to follow in the footsteps of Patti Lather and “get lost” or “unknow” what seems to be true. We view this process of “unknowing” as a productive way to re-approach the apparently obvious relationship between theatre and learning, and to acknowledge the inherent tensions between theatre and learning. Jill Dolan’s *Utopia in Performance* argues for communal theatre experiences as a way to “inspire moments in which audiences feel themselves allied with each other, and
with a broader, more capacious sense of a public, in which social discourse articulates the possible, rather than the insurmountable obstacles to human potential” (Dolan 164). Some of our authors, in the spirit of Jill Dolan, find “hope in the theatre,” but others acknowledge anxiety that cannot be easily soothed.

For millennia, human beings have feared, dreamed about, imagined, and relied upon the potential power of theatre to influence, to educate, to empower, and potentially corrupt. Survey courses of theatre history regularly point to Plato’s passionate recommendation that actors and poets be exiled from utopia, but, he concedes “we ought to point out that if the kinds of poetry and representation which are designed merely to give pleasure can come up with a rational argument for their inclusion in a well-governed community, we’d be delighted” (Klosko 179). Plato emphasises the significance of play in learning (d’Angour 294; 307) and the phenomenal power of the spell of poetry. But he feared it too: theatre can incite passion and can be critical of society, and these would be awkward in Plato’s rational utopia. While their utopian visions of the future differ radically, both Dolan and Plato suggest that experiences made possible through theatre can have powerful learning potential—the tensions come from what is taught and what is actually learned; and the power dynamics inherent in who is actually teaching and who is learning. By being honest about the highly complex and potentially powerful relationship between theatre and learning, we believe that the writers in this book invite readers to ask themselves difficult questions about their own artistic and/or pedagogical practices, and about their own assumptions regarding binaries such as what theatre can, cannot, should, or should not do; and also about a wide open vista of utopias where reflecting, risking, and re-imagining could make space for theatre and learning “maybes” and “mights.”
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**Notes**

1 Gorky MHAT (Moskovsky Khudozhvestvenny Akademichesky Teatr imeni Gor’kogo) is considered the successor of the original Moscow Art Theatre (MAT) established by Stanislavsky and Nemirovich-Danchenko. Its repertoire mostly consists of productions that made the original MAT popular and its mandate is stated as a ‘return to Stanislavsky’. Gorky MHAT was established in 1987 after a conflict that occurred in the MHAT troupe and eventually led to the division of the MHAT theatre company into two: the Chekhov MHAT and the Gorky MHAT. The latter occupies a new building on Tverskoy Bulevard, while the former performs in the original MAT building on Kamergersky Lane.

2 The Festival of Original Theatre (F.O.O.T.) is a long-standing tradition at the Centre for Drama, Theatre, Performance Studies at the University of Toronto. The official website of the department’s Student Union, which organizes the festival, states: “Started in 1993, F.O.O.T. is an annual, student run conference and arts festival produced in conjunction with the Graduate Centre for the Study of Drama and the Drama Centre Student Union. Its goal is to create a discussion between scholarship and praxis. The structure of the festival varies from year to year. Past programs have combined academic conference papers, panel discussions, readings of plays in progress and original theatre performances”. In 2012, Art Babayants and Heather Fitzsimmons Frey became artistic directors of the 20th anniversary edition of F.O.O.T., which took place on 2-5 February at the Robert Gill Theatre, University of Toronto.
PART I.

REFLECTING
INTRODUCTION

TO ENTERTAIN AND SURPRISE:
REFLECTIONS ON THEATRE AND LEARNING

GEORGE BELLIVEAU

The impact of theatre often rests on an audience being entertained (by ideas) and surprised (by the unexpected). Through thoughtful reflections and attention to detail, the authors of the four chapters that follow describe theatre endeavours that both entertain and surprise. Each author guides us inside creative processes, highlighting critical links between theatre and learning. They write about the theatre work in a manner that is very open and honest, fearless of pointing to failures, challenges and surprises within the events, as such resisting heroic narratives. Their reflections on the theatre projects invite pedagogical questions, nudging the reader into the conversation in a dialogic fashion. What could have been done differently? What would I do next time? How might we imagine the future? These questions are continually present in each of the chapters, which offer spaces for the reader to question their own practice and approach to theatre and learning.

The ephemeral nature of theatre poses a challenge for writers to fully describe, in that what is witnessed within a live performance can never be completely re-captured. Traces, glimpses and insights can be offered, but are only shadows of what occurred. The following chapters depict specific theatre spaces and events in Toronto, Hong Kong, and Tasmania, to shed light on particular shadows that continue to linger. Each author takes a unique approach to lead us through the respective theatre events, always keeping the notion of theatre and learning front and centre within their reflective writing. Complex and sensitive content is addressed in the authors’ depictions, supporting how and why the arts can be applied (ethically) to engage in conversations about diversity and injustice.

Kathleen Gallagher’s theorized chapter “Chasing Change: Drama Education, Applied Theatre and the Ecology of Social Change” provides rich insights on her collaborative projects with researchers, schools,
To Entertain and Surprise: Reflections on Theatre and Learning

refugee youth and professional artists in Toronto. She reflects on three performance-based examples (i.e., *The Middle Place*; student monologues responding to *The Middle Place*; devised piece with Roma youth) from her five-year study that closely address her inquiry on what theatre for social change means. She critically reflects upon the possibilities of theatre to make change, in the worlds of the audiences and artist/creators/participants of the theatre pieces. Each project addresses sensitive issues experienced by marginalized populations, and as such Gallagher speaks of an aesthetic distance used by participants and artists to engage with potentially traumatic experiences. Key learning moments emerge in this reflective and aesthetic distance between the real (difficult personal history) and imagined. This theme of imagining the future, which is informed from past knowledge and lived experiences, is clearly foregrounded in Gallagher’s piece and resurfaces in different manners in the other three chapters.

In “Difficult Knowledge in Theatre for Young Audiences: Remembering and Representing the Holocaust” Belarie Zatzman invites the reader to reflect upon three plays for young audiences: Hannah Moscovitch’s *The Children’s Republic*; Emil Sher’s *Hana’s Suitcase*; Wendy Kesselman’s *The Diary of Anne Frank*. She closely reflects on the depth and richness of each text but more importantly on the aesthetic theatricalization offered by the creative artists involved in the respective Toronto productions. She shares her own visceral experiences of witnessing the power of these performances, describing vivid stage moments and highlighting symbolic props and visual images used in the productions. The reflective voices of artists involved in creating the Holocaust plays are represented in the chapter as they share their vision and approaches to the difficult content within the scripts. Through her engaging and heartfelt narrative, Zatzman helps us better understand the possibilities of theatre as a space for learning, remembering past atrocities, and imagining a more informed future.

In “Moving Mountains: Becoming a Teaching Artist” Mary Anderson reflects on her one-year artistic journey in Tasmania as she choreographed a theatre piece with diverse community artists. Her personal and reflective narrative describes both the challenges and successes she encountered while learning to be a “guest” teaching artist in a new environment. She describes how the rigid structures and aims of the funding grants are juxtaposed with their objectives, which include trying to meaningfully and sensitively integrate refugee communities inside the Mountain Festival. Anderson’s honest and descriptive reflections provide the reader with vivid insights into the complexities of being a teaching artist, an academic and a “guest” inside a diverse community project abroad.
Finally, Michelle Raquel takes the reader to a Hong Kong tertiary classroom in her chapter “A Sociocultural Approach to Theatre Productions in L2 Learning.” She shares key learning moments her students experienced during the rehearsal process and staging of *Living with Lady Macbeth*. Using Vygotsky’s Zone of Proximal Development as a theoretical approach to learning, she traces how working towards a formal production, with a scripted text enables learners to scaffold their learning of English as a second language. Her reflections on the systematic study highlight moments of surprise, where unexpected learning occurred for the students during the theatre-making.

The four authors remind us of the possibilities of theatre to stimulate participants and audiences to see the world and learning in new ways. They also illustrate how the art form of theatre can be highly pedagogical without necessarily being didactic or un-engaging. In their own unique approaches, each author speaks to the importance and value of reflecting on the process and productions during or after the event. In many ways the reflective elements become key parts of the work and experience. The theatre events are stimuli that lead to critical reflection, and possible little change (Balfour 2009: 347). The artistic endeavour and reflections work hand in hand to engage participants and audiences into the learning and considerations of future possibilities. Another important feature of the four chapters lies in how the respective theatre events honour the art form of theatre. Theatre education (and its many iterations) thrives in various forms, but one of the ways it can reach and touch participants and audiences perhaps more significantly is when equal attention is paid to the craft/art of theatre-making and the pedagogical intents. Anthony Jackson (2005) shares his perspective on the aim of theatre-makers to balance the instrumental and artistic without compromising either (105-106). He suggests that an over-emphasis on the artistic or the pedagogical can limit the potential of theatre for learning (106). The aim to *entertain* ideas and be *surprised* by the unexpected rests in theatre events that honour the art form along with its pedagogical objectives (Prendergast and Belliveau: 206).
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Canadian feminist philosopher Lorraine Code (2006) describes ecology as:

[A] study of habitats, both physical and social where people endeavour to live well together; of ways of knowing that foster or thwart such living; and thus of the ethos and habitus enacted in the knowledge and actions, customs, social structures, and creative-regulative principles by which people strive or fail to achieve this multiply realizable end. (27)

In this chapter, I work through some ideas about social change, social and economic justice, and theatre’s potential role in that complicated work. To begin, six robust propositions: i) theatre for change is a movement or impetus across several different genres of theatre-making that involves inherent risk, often has ambitious goals, and whose impact should be unapologetically measured on at least three scales: the social, the pedagogical, the artistic; ii) the practices of change-driven theatre should be locally determined and responsive to the ecology of the stories being explored; iii) its practices should also understand that spatial relationships (between audience and actors) always imply broad social relations that are dynamic and open to change; iv) theatre for change creates cultural change, which is the necessary antecedent to public policy change; v) the critical but nebulous role of “the real”—the actual stories of real people—in applied theatre must be considered on pragmatic, ethical and theoretical levels; vi) “the real” of theatre must also be put to work, provoke the imagination, and challenge the artistic and ethical cul-de-sac of the literal.

As sources of illustration for the above-cited propositions, I will draw from my ethnographic research projects and my theatre practice, using