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Muslims’ coexistence in non-Muslim lands remains an urgent issue of theological research, to which Islamic conferences and councils in recent years, particularly the European Council for Fatwa and Research, have contributed important Islamic teachings. These have made it, to an extent, better understood.

This epistemological research is specifically centred on Muslims’ coexistence in Ireland. This research fundamentally embraces the study of Islamic theology and Muslims’ discourse, which gives rise to a number of research questions. The main question addressed is that of whether Muslims should live in non-Muslim lands. This prompts the philosophical question of a Muslim’s right to the acquisition of local citizenship. There is a wide range of jurists’ opinions on the latter, which indicates the paramount importance of considering the context in which each of these opinions originated.

The definition of the term citizenship and the rights and responsibilities that emanate from it have led to important discourses among Muslim and non-Muslim scholars. The teaching of the Qur’an and other Islamic texts, such as the rights to world lands by Adam and Eve, are discussed in this regard, along with the concept of Muwatanah signifying the action of belonging to Watan (home land) and confirming the reciprocal rights and responsibilities between human beings and the Watan wherein they reside.

Scholars of the concept of the legality and illegality of holding citizenship of a non-Muslim land are reviewed and the consequences of their writings are explored for an interpretation of civic duties and responsibilities.

The theological concepts of Wala’ and Bara’, which are of paramount importance in the philosophical development of the arguments of Muslims’ coexistence in non-Muslim lands, are explored, inclusive of the well-being of the Muslim community’s coexistence in Ireland and their practical interpretation of these concepts. This leads to a discussion of the concept of civic loyalty and the associated Islamic doctrine related to it as documented by Islamic scholars such as Ibn Taymiyya, Abdelqader, Maulawi and Al-Qaradawi. Another aspect of civic loyalty with profound
repercussions is the concept of Taqseem Al-Dar. A wide range of issues, which require particular Fiqh resolutions such as personal problems, economic, family and social issues, are explored. The research explores the limited literature on the concept of Fiqh of minorities – not a contemporary Islamic innovation – which originates from a realistic Islamic and religious comprehension of problems confronting Muslim minorities. Fiqh of Minorities is researched as a typical example of changes of Fatwa related to our changing notions of the time and place in which people find themselves. Muslim scholars have elucidated a number of foundational issues, which are discussed in this thesis, so that such Fiqh remains Islamic.

The basis of successful peaceful coexistence is researched, particularly in the case of Muslims living in Ireland. I demonstrate that commonalities and Islamic perception of others are both a fundamental basis for successful coexistence and, above all, an important argument that in order to maintain and further successful coexistence, Muslims should hold fast to Islamic values. The thesis promotes both the prophet Joseph as an example of a successful sojourner in a non-Muslim land and, likewise, Muslim immigrants to Abyssinia.
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CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

In spite of the fact that it is one of the most commonly applied terms in today’s world, Muslims’ coexistence in non-Muslim lands remains in urgent need of theological reflection. The contemporary discussions of integration in today’s Europe are mainly focused on Muslim integration and Muslim identity. When the question of Muslim integration is raised the issue of coexistence becomes contentious as most Muslims perceive Islam as a way of life. Shari’ah is deemed to be the ultimate authority for the Muslim majority. Although interpreted differently, according to different schools of thought, Shari’ah regulates a Muslim’s relationship with Allah, Muslim–Muslim relationships and Muslim–non-Muslim relationships. In other words, relationships between a Muslim and the entire universe around him/her are regulated by Shari’ah. For instance, regarding financial affairs the following is stated in the Qur’an:

O ye who believe! When ye contract a debt for a fixed term, record it in writing. Let a scribe record it in writing between you in (terms of) equity. No scribe should refuse to write as Allah hath taught him, so let him write, and let him who incurrith the debt dictate, and let him observe his duty to Allah his Lord, and diminish naught thereof. But if he who oweth the debt is of low understanding, or weak, or unable himself to dictate, then let the guardian of his interests dictate in (terms of) equity. And call to witness, from among your men, two witnesses. And if two men be not (at hand) then a man and two women, of such as ye approve as witnesses, so that if the one erreth (through forgetfulness) the other will remember. And the witnesses must not refuse when they are summoned. Be not averse to writing down (the contract) whether it be small or great, with (record of) the term thereof. That is more equitable in the sight of Allah and more sure for testimony, and the best way of avoiding doubt between you; save only in the case when it is actual merchandise which ye transfer among yourselves from hand to hand. In that case it is no sin for you if ye write it not. And have witnesses when ye sell one to another, and let no harm be done to scribe or witness. If ye do (harm to them) lo! It is a sin in you. Observe your duty to Allah. Allah is teaching you. And Allah is knower of all things. (Trans. 2:282, Pickthall)
In the above verse Muslims have clear financial instructions, in minute
details, with regard to regulating certain types of financial affairs. Other
verses of the Qur’an regulate financial transactions even further; for
example, according to verse 2:275: “Allah permits trading and forbids
usury.”

The Qur’an also organises relations among people in a broader sense;
for example, the verse below is a regulation on criminal behaviour:

O ye who believe! Retaliation is prescribed for you in the matter of the
murdered; the freeman for the freeman, and the slave for the slave, and the
female for the female. And for him who is forgiven somewhat by his
(injured) brother, prosecution according to usage and payment unto him in
kindness. This is an alleviation and a mercy from your Lord. He who
transgresseth after this will have a painful doom. (Trans. 2:178)

In this verse the Qur’an explains the Islamic regulations that should be
applied in the case of criminal behaviour. In another example it is stated:
“When ye are greeted with a greeting, greet ye with a better than it or
return it. Lo! Allah taketh count of all things.” (Trans. 4:86, Pickthall)

Given the sheer number of textual regulations pertaining to the daily
lives of most Muslims, it is essential that one conducts a Muslim
theological study on the issue of coexistence. Furthermore, this type of
faith-based study has thus far not yet been presented.

Scholars such as Bérengère Massignon argue that researchers have
tackled the issue of the Europeanisation of Islam at the grass-roots level,
i.e. recomposition of Islamic beliefs, interethnic and mixed marriages,
internet-based Muslim networks, European large gatherings and the rule of
religious leaders. Bérengère Massignon himself also deals with the issue
of Europeanisation of Islam at an institutional level, focusing on
transnational Muslim organisations in relation to European institutions.
His study is a sociological one, and not theological, as is the case in this
book. The part played by Muslims in the social texture of their respective
locations is elaborated on in the book The Infidel Within by Humayum
Ansari, and likewise by Ala Al-Hamarneh and Joern Thielmann in their
book Islam and Muslims in Germany. Again, however, these scholars do
not address the theological debates.

Until now the issue of Muslim positive coexistence, especially in
Ireland, has been elucidated from historical and sociological points of
view. In 2004, Kieran Flynn submitted his MPhil book on the Muslim
community in Ireland. He highlights briefly the history of Muslims in Europe, explaining some pertinent Islamic terms such as Dar-al-Harb (the land of war). In 2007, The Irish Hospice Foundation Programme in partnership with the Health Service Executive published a booklet entitled *Caring for a Muslim Patient*. In this booklet they elaborate on health issues and Muslim practices.

When it comes to studying a person’s identity, the factor of being a Muslim has been considered unworthy of a detailed analysis, Jocelyne Cesari argues, by European sociologists who specialise in immigration. Cesari adds that scholars of Islam and certain sociologists and anthropologists of the Muslim world stress the significance of Islam as a system of norms and values. Nevertheless, Cesari states that due to the increased visibility of Muslim action and activities, the study of religious factors occupy a prominent position in integration. This study became more relevant in the post-9/11 context. Cesari elaborates on Muslim identity in Europe as influenced by a number of factors, for example, meta-narratives about Islam and ethnicity versus Islam, but not Islamic theology, which profoundly affects Muslims’ coexistence in Europe.

In his book, *To be a European Muslim*, Tariq Ramadan elaborates on Islamic teachings such as Tawheed (oneness and unity of God), shedding light on the typology of the Islamic sciences such as the sciences of the Qur’an. In this book Ramadan makes a very significant statement:

As it is the last Revelation, the Qur’an represents the last guidance, the last frame of reference whose teachings are suitable, henceforth, for all places and times to come until the end of human history. Thus the Qur’an, the very Word of God, conveyed by the Angel Gabriel, is the first and essential source for Muslims in both religious and juridical fields. Nine-tenths of it deal with spirituality in the widest sense of the term: the presence of God, creation, faith, worship, morality, the Hereafter, etc. We also find general rulings concerning social affairs: in its last Revelation, God fixed a global frame within which believers have to exert themselves in order to find the most appropriate law which is both faithful to the Qur’an and which also fits their context.

This statement confirms the relevance of the study of theology for the question of coexistence. Ramadan explains a number of Arabic terms such as Al-Maslahah (consideration of public interest). Nevertheless, the theological aspects of coexistence have not been fully explored yet.
Other studies, such as those conducted by Tarek Mitri, elaborate on the issue of Muslim and Christian relations. Mitri argues that integration and uniformity are encouraged by economic, technological and ecological factors. Nevertheless, he perceives that Muslim–Christian relations are caught between advocates of homogenisation and supporters of self-affirmation, where the former favours relativism but the latter encourages fanaticism. He states that tension between Muslims and Christians is due to a complex history of rivalry and wars. In addition, he states that it is also due to the perception of the other and the depiction of today’s conflicts as religious wars and manifestations of ancestral hatred. Although Mitri states in his study, “it is often admitted that changes in one’s theology and perceptions of the other, on the whole, linger behind the dynamics of life”, he does not present a theological discourse.

Given the clear paucity in the literature in regards to a faith-based study of Muslim coexistence, this book proposes to elaborate on the theological aspect of Muslim coexistence in non-Muslim lands and presents a number of theological questions, arguments and counter-arguments pertinent to this issue. Is it permissible for Muslims to live in a non-Muslim country? Is it permissible for Muslims to acquire non-Muslim citizenship? What are the obstacles? How do Muslims perceive civic duties in non-Muslim countries? Are Muslims obliged to fulfil them?

Some Muslim scholars such as Salah Sultan argue that to be a citizen or to live in a non-Muslim country is a contentious issue that is urgently in need of critical study by Muslim scholars and researchers in order to provide a Fiqh (Islamic jurisprudence) that is neither justificatory nor phobic but rather is a Fiqh distinguished in its reformative and initiative approach. Faysal Maulawi states that the fact that Muslims living in non-Muslim countries are estimated to be approximately fifty million, enjoying more rights than the diminishing rights of Muslims living in Muslim countries – such as freedom of expression – makes the issue more urgent and more complicated. For instance, as stated in interviews I conducted with some Libyans residing in Ireland, under Muammar Gaddafi’s regime commencing on 1 September 1969, Muslims who had beards and established their five daily prayers in the mosque were under surveillance in Libya, where the level of religious freedom and human rights was undermined. Libya’s violation of human rights affected Libyans as well as non-Libyans residing in the country. Even refugees and asylum seekers were not free from persecution:
There are indefinite detentions and abuses of migrants, refugees and asylum seekers as well as the legacy of unresolved cases of enforced disappearances of dissidents. Meanwhile, the security forces remain immune from the consequences of their actions.\(^{15}\)

The dilemma is that violations of human rights in Libya were perpetrated by state agencies under Gaddafi’s regime. In other words, to live in Libya meant that one had to accept either to be a victim of the regime or face intolerable difficulties.

Hassiba Hadj Sahraoui, Deputy Director at Amnesty International’s Middle East and North Africa programme, said:

Violations continue to be committed by the security forces, particularly the Internal Security Agency (ISA), who appear to have unchecked powers to arrest, detain and interrogate individuals suspected of dissent or of terrorism-related activities. Individuals can be held incommunicado for long periods, tortured and denied access to lawyers. If Libya is to have any international credibility, the authorities must ensure that no one is above the law and that everyone, including the most vulnerable and marginalized, is protected by the law. The repression of dissent must end.\(^{16}\)

This was the situation in Libya during Gaddafi’s rule, when it was classified as one of the twenty-six countries in which there were some of the most serious wide-ranging human rights concerns.\(^{17}\) Libya’s poor human rights record went against the fact that it had ratified most of the major human rights treaties.\(^{18}\) In addition to the UN Conventions, on 30 May 1985, Libya signed the African Charter on Human and People’s Rights and ratified it on 19 July 1986, which binds it by some international and regional human rights standards.\(^{19}\)

In light of the current revolution human rights continue to stall on reform in Libya. Assessment of the current human rights situation in Libya is outside the scope of this chapter. Nonetheless, human rights organisations such as Human Rights Watch have already stated their concerns in regards to some human rights abuses such as arbitrary detentions by militia groups, which the National Transitional Council should work to stop.\(^{20}\)

Tunisia, another country in transition, has also ratified most core UN human rights treaties as well as the above-mentioned African Charter on 16 March 1983.\(^{21}\) Despite its international and regional obligations to human rights, and in this particular example, the right to religious expression and freedom under Ben Ali’s regime, the hijab, an Islamic code
of modest dressing was banned. According to a report by Amnesty International:

The Tunisian authorities also undermine freedom of expression of religious belief. Harassment of women wearing the hijab (Islamic headscarf) and men wearing beards and the qamis (knee-length shirts) is on the increase following the authorities’ calls for a strict implementation of a 1980s ministerial decree banning women from wearing the hijab at educational institutions and when working in government. Women often suffer disproportionately in this regard. Some women have been taken to police stations and forced to sign statements to say they will stop wearing the hijab. Others have reportedly had their hijab stripped off them in the street by police officers in plain clothes. Some women have been ordered to remove their hijab before being allowed into schools, universities, or workplaces and others forced to remove them in the street.22

In this example of a Muslim majority country, as certain Muslims believe, Muslims were denied their basic rights to certain religious practices in governmental and educational institutions. To wear the hijab or the qamis was against the law. By law, people’s religious rights were restricted.

As well as religious freedom, in Tunisia, freedom of expression under Ben Ali’s regime was undermined, as believed by a number of Muslims. The situation has not improved yet. In Tunisia, it is almost impossible to register an independent non-governmental organisation (NGO) and, if it succeeds in being registered, it will not function, since for every public meeting an official authorisation is needed. In addition, human rights activists are usually subjected to harassment. Human rights organisations continue to experience increasingly repressive actions. The government routinely blocks the legal registration of new independent NGOs by preventing them from submitting their applications to register or by refusing to provide them with receipts to prove that they have submitted an application.23

Turkey is another example. It is a secular state, yet Muslims constitute the majority there. Nonetheless, they do not enjoy full religious freedom, as believed by a number of Muslims. On 29 June 2004, Leyla Şahin submitted an application to the European Court of Human Rights. When she was a student in a medical school in Istanbul, she was denied access to a written examination and lectures, and she was not allowed to enrol in a scientific department of the school as she wore a hijab, which she believed to be an Islamic obligation. Her claim was based on a violation of religious freedom. The then state party contested this claim, arguing that secularism
was a crucial matter for Turkey’s practice of democracy. The European Court of Human Rights issued a sentence supporting the state’s decision. This is the situation in Turkey, despite the fact that on 15 August 2000, it signed the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

In Turkey a secular atmosphere has been achieved at a great cost to individual freedoms. As believed by a number of Muslims, Islamic practices have been restricted, paving the way for secularists to occupy state positions. In such an atmosphere, how can Muslims be called to live in Turkey, while in the countries where they currently live they are able to enjoy their human rights?

This deterioration of human rights could be one of the repercussions of political corruption. In an interview with Abdullahi An-Na’im conducted by Lisa Hajjar on 7 January 2000, An-Na’im stated that when the Arab world achieved liberation after many decades of colonisation, oppressive civil and political conditions were created by the leadership there during the 1950s and 1960s. Hence, human rights activism, deemed to be the current manifestation of an historic struggle for human rights, emerged in the 1970s. The tsunami of revolutions striking Tunisia, Egypt and Libya was, to a large extent, motivated by a deterioration of human rights in these countries. Opposers to the Tunisian and Egyptian government demand an immediate review of their constitutions, which they perceive to be the foundation of legalised violations of civil and political rights. An-Na’im argues that if the Egyptians and Syrians enjoy a certain level of human rights, it is due to the pressure applied by the West. He calls it human rights dependency. He adds that Egypt listens to the West because of aid but had Egypt been independent it would not have responded to this pressure. Nevertheless, An-Na’im perceives this pressure as a fundamental violation of human rights as it exploits the dependency of others.

Ann Elizabeth Mayer argues that debates around the relationship between legal Islamic traditions and human rights became significant in the wake of the Islamic resurgence that began after the Arab–Israeli war in 1967. Prior to this, the movement towards secularisation had been very consistent, leaving very little room for Islamic substantive rules. The discourse of human rights and Islam in Muslim countries has been ongoing for some time. Mashood A. Baderin argues that in the states where Islam is deemed to be the state religion or where Islamic law or Islamic principles are applied, Islam could be a significant factor in improving poor human rights. Baderin states:
Pragmatically therefore, efforts for the promotion and protection of human rights in the Muslim world must necessarily take the impact and role of Islam into account, be it positively or negatively. Islam generally, and Islamic law specifically, cannot simply be disregarded as irrelevant in any of such endeavours.34

Muslims, constituting 20 per cent of the world’s population, have lived and thrived in greatly diverse Islamic societies, both historically and contemporarily.35 An-Na’im argues that human rights can have an impact on the law through social commitments influenced by Shari’aah, as well as many other factors. He adds that Islamic societies, or the societies where Muslims constitute the majority, should not be perceived as coincidental with a fidelity to Shari’aah.36 So, on the one hand, we have the state dynamic and, on the other, we have the social dimension. In between we have human rights, which are supposed to be negotiated through notions of morality. Hence, human rights are shaped by a number of factors in Islamic society. So, whose morality is it that is used as a foundation for this negotiation? How is this to be articulated? This is subject to political, economic and social factors.37 The issue of human rights in Muslim countries is a book topic in its own right. Nevertheless, no further elaboration on this point is provided as it is not a central concern of this book.38

However, the fundamental importance of human rights and the serious concerns in Muslim lands highlighted above make it an immediate and incumbent duty on Muslim scholars to facilitate an Islamic understanding of Muslim coexistence in non-Muslim lands based on ‘Ijtihad (reasoning), taking into consideration the difficulties confronting Muslims in Muslim countries and the Islamic rule of “Dar’ Al-Mafasid Wa Jalab Ul-Manafi’” (facilitating interests and warding off evils).

According to Yusuf Al-Qaradawi,40 Muslims living in non-Muslim countries should lead a stable life that enables the viability of the seven phases: preserving their Muslim identity; raising their awareness; unity; embarking on activities; contribution; citizenship; and integration. This stable life should allow Muslims to fulfil their five fundamental duties: protecting and promoting themselves; shielding their families against assimilation; uniting with their Muslim brethren in the West; reflecting the true Islamic image; and being concerned with and supporting Muslim issues worldwide.41
Various Muslim scholars argue for and against maintaining the purity of Muslims living in non-Muslim countries. These arguments raise questions pertinent to the concept of Muslims and citizenship of non-Muslim countries. How important is the question of citizenship to the issue of coexistence? What restrictions in the way of coexistence are there? What support is lent by scholarly arguments around the concept of legal citizenship in the case of Muslims living in non-Muslim countries?

Considering the reality of Muslims living in non-Muslim societies, one can easily perceive excess and remiss. In other words, some who have been assimilated indulge in whatever is of worldly interest to them, regardless of its motivation and heedless of their religion. They do not differentiate between what is Halal (religiously permissible) and what is Haram (religiously forbidden). The second category is rare and not well-connected in the Muslim community. They sever all types of relations with their society and they always feel alienated at home and among their countrymen.

There is also a third category, one that presents a different argument. This is where Muslims perceive themselves as citizens in non-Muslim countries. In fact, millions of Muslims migrated from their homes and resided in non-Muslim countries as a result of pressures felt due to repression, backwardness and poverty in their respective homes. Hence, they raise the following questions: Is it permissible to live in non-Muslim countries? In fact, there is not a single Islamic country that meets all the conditions stipulated to be classified as an Islamic society, as will be explained in the coming chapters. Had there been an Islamic country, would it have been able to accommodate all these millions of Muslims? Had it accommodated all these millions, would the Muslim nation have been the best nation as described in the Qur’an or would it have been an isolated nation? Should the Fiqh of authority that the Muslim nation enjoyed for many centuries remain unalterable even though the current context is utterly different? Currently, the Muslim nation is weak and divided into many countries, and, is subjected politically, socially and intellectually to external and internal factors. Most of the Muslim countries are not Shari’ah (Islamic law) compliant countries. Many non-religious ideologies are in effect there. Non-Islamic norms penetrated Islamic societies through satellite television and the internet and, prior to the digital age, through colonisation and trade. In light of this situation should Muslim scholars turn a blind eye and apply the inherited Fiqh, overlooking the objectives of Islamic Shari’ah, or should Muslim
scholars turn a deaf ear to the inherited *Fiqh* and take into consideration the objectives of Islamic *Shari’ah* and the contemporary Muslim context?

There is an argument and a counter-argument regarding the permissibility of Muslims’ coexistence in non-Muslim lands presented by a number of contemporary scholars such as Hasan Al-Bana, Al-Qaradawi and Al-Buti, as well as scholars of earlier times such as Al-Sarkhasi and Ibn Al-Qayyim. In this book, illustrations of both arguments supported by citations will be elucidated providing answers to the questions above.

In the second chapter, both Muslim and non-Muslim perceptions of citizenship is elaborated upon. Light is shed on similarities as well as differences. In this chapter it is made clear how the perceptions of citizenship of some non-Muslims present a challenge for Muslims. On the other hand, this chapter highlights new dimensions of citizenship added by Muslim scholars. It also shows the value of land from an Islamic point of view.

In the third chapter the argument of Muslim scholars, who perceive living in a non-Muslim country and acquiring non-Muslim citizenship as being prohibited by Islam, is presented along with the Islamic scriptural quotations they cite in support of their arguments. Afterwards, a deliberation on their views and a different understanding of the Islamic scriptural quotations they cite in support of their arguments is explored in detail. This is vitally important, as it explains the opinions of these scholars and why and on what basis they formed them. An elaboration on their context is also presented since, when explaining *Fiqh Al-Aqaliyyat* (*Fiqh* of minorities), it has a profound impact on the Islamic law. Moreover, this gives one a better understanding of these opinions.

In the fourth chapter, the arguments of Muslim scholars, who perceive living in a non-Muslim country and acquiring non-Muslim citizenship as islamically permissible, are presented, along with the Islamic scriptural quotations they cite in support of their arguments. This is vitally important as it explains the opinions of these scholars and why and on what basis they formed them. An elaboration on their context is also presented since, when talking about *Fiqh Al-Aqaliyyat* (*Fiqh* of minorities), it has a profound impact on Islamic law. Moreover, this gives a better understanding of these opinions.
As I conclude this chapter by stressing the Islamic permissibility of living in non-Muslim countries and acquiring non-Muslim citizenships, in the fifth chapter, light is shed on one of the frequently mentioned terms in the old Islamic books: Wala’ and Bara’ (sincerity and disassociation). The focus is on this concept and its serious implications on Muslim–non-Muslim relations. As the concept of Wala’ and Bara’ is based on love and hatred expressed in sincerity, friendship, disassociation and hostility, not only does it seriously impact on Muslim–non-Muslim relations, it also has a great influence on the attitudes of Muslims towards non-Muslim countries and states. Muslim scholars present a wide range of interpretations in this regard. A detailed elaboration on the opinions perceiving it as a divisive term and, the opinions perceiving it as a uniting term, is presented in this chapter.

As I conclude stating that the terms Wala’ and Bara’ (sincerity and disassociation) cannot be perceived as an obstacle in the way of coexistence, in the sixth chapter, I elaborate on the issue of civic duties. Is it islamically permissible for Muslims to fulfil civic duties when living in non-Muslim countries? What should Muslims do if there is a contradiction between their Islamic duties and civic duties? In the sixth chapter these questions are tackled from an Islamic point of view.

As I conclude stating that Muslims should fulfil their civic duty, in the seventh chapter another Islamic term, Taqseem Al-Dar (classification of the world), is explained. This term has a profound impact on the perception of land. Hence, it affects the fulfillment of civic duties and also the issue of coexistence. In this chapter an explanation of all types of lands is presented. This includes the impact of the current circumstances on the typology of the lands.

Upon reaching this point and out of the paramount importance of the issue of Fiqh, a detailed study is presented on Fiqh Al-Aqalliyat (Fiqh of minorities). In this chapter a number of points including the impact of circumstances, place and time on Fatwa are raised. Also an elaboration on Da’wah as one of the characteristics of Fiqh Al-Aqalliyat (Fiqh of minorities) is presented. A comparison of Da’wah in a Muslim country and a non-Muslim country is presented, stressing that even Da’wah varies from one place to another.

In the eleventh chapter a detailed explanation on the foundation of Fiqh Al-Aqalliyat is presented. According to the foundation, one discerns that it is not a fabricated Fiqh and that it is not open to individuals’ desires.
As it is permissible for Muslims to live in a non-Muslim country and acquire non-Muslim citizenship, and, there is a Fiqh on the basis of which their affairs can be organised, in the twelfth chapter an elaboration on responsible Islamic coexistence is presented. In support of this argument examples of coexistence in the Qur’an and Sunnah are cited.

1.1 Methodology

This study presents an Islamic theological legal discourse on the issue of living in non-Muslim countries and acquiring non-Muslim citizenship. Outlined in this study are opinions expressed by a wide range of Muslim jurists, who lived in different historical eras and belonged to various geographical locations. In other words, they were related to different contexts. In this study, their arguments are quoted with the logical and scriptural proofs supporting them. This is followed by a deliberation, highlighting critical points in arguments presented by each group of Muslim jurists. Part of the scriptural proofs is represented by a citation of a number of Qur’anic verses. To translate these verses I depended largely, with minor and sometimes major amendments, on the translations provided by Abdullah Yusouf Ali, Ahmad Ali and Pickthall. This book aims to achieve three main contributions. First, it aims to present certain Islamic concepts in English, which are available only in Arabic. It also aims to collect and elaborate on the main opinions and issues pertaining to the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries and, to present these in one book. Finally, it presents the rich literature of the European Council for Fatwa and Research (ECFR) pertaining to the issue of Muslim–non-Muslim relations. This literature is available in Arabic only. Hence, this is the first time to present it in English. Moreover, the atmosphere of the discussions held in the ECFR’s sessions could be discerned in this study, since the author of this book has attended most of these sessions and translated most of their final statements. This book aims to present a Muslim inward vision of the status of the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries.

In order to develop the book, it is proposed to anchor it in a number of Research Questions, which, in turn, will set specific Research Objectives and suggest a research process to explore the present pertinent concepts related to the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries, the associated concept of Muslims acquiring non-Muslim citizenship in these countries, and the emergent theological and sociological consequences related thereto. The following Research Questions are proposed:
1- What is the present status of Muslim theology on the concept of coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries?

2- What is the present status of Muslim theology on the concept of Muslims acquiring non-Muslim citizenship in non-Muslim countries, where there is a peaceful coexistence?

3- How can the theological consequences relating to the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries be contextualised at the present time?

4- How can the sociological consequences relating to the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries be contextualised at the present time?

5- What is the ideal theological teaching on coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries in the twenty-first century?

6- What is the ideal theological teaching on Muslims acquiring non-Muslim citizenship in non-Muslim countries, where there is peaceful coexistence?

These Research Questions set the Research Objectives of the book which are as follows:-

1- To explore the present status of Muslim theology on the concept of coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries.

2- To explore the present status of Muslim theology on the concept of Muslims acquiring non-Muslim citizenship in non-Muslim countries, where there is peaceful coexistence.

3- To put into context the present-day theological consequences relating to the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries.

4- To put into context the present-day sociological consequences relating to the coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries.

5- To suggest the ideal theological teaching on coexistence of Muslims in non-Muslim countries in the twenty-first century.
6- To suggest the ideal theological teaching on Muslims acquiring non-Muslim citizenship in non-Muslim countries, where there is peaceful coexistence.

The book develops an academic exposé of the questions indicated and utilises the continuous forum meetings of European Imams as a major source of information and knowledge.
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